2005

## Near-Ultrahigh Pressure Processing of Continental Crust: Miocene Crustal Xenoliths from the Pamir

## BRADLEY HACKER<sup>1\*</sup>, PETER LUFFI<sup>2</sup>, VALERY LUTKOV<sup>3</sup>, VLADISLAV MINAEV<sup>3</sup>, LOTHAR RATSCHBACHER<sup>4</sup>, TERRY PLANK<sup>5</sup>, MIHAI DUCEA<sup>6</sup>, ALBERTO PATIÑO-DOUCE<sup>7</sup>, MICHAEL McWILLIAMS<sup>8</sup> AND JIM METCALF<sup>8</sup>

<sup>1</sup>DEPARTMENT OF GEOLOGICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SANTA BARBARA, CA 93106-9630, USA
<sup>2</sup>DEPARTMENT OF GEOLOGY AND GEOPHYSICS, UNIVERSITY OF BUCHAREST, BUCHAREST, 70139, ROMANIA
<sup>3</sup>GEOLOGICAL INSTITUTE OF THE TAJIK ACADEMY OF SCIENCE, 734063, DUSHANBE, TAJIKISTAN
<sup>4</sup>INSTITUT FÜR GEOWISSENSCHAFTEN, TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT BERGAKADEMIE FREIBERG,
09599 FREIBERG, GERMANY

 $^5\mathrm{DEPARTMENT}$  OF EARTH SCIENCES, 685 COMMONWEALTH AVENUE, BOSTON UNIVERSITY, BOSTON, MA 02215, USA

<sup>6</sup>DEPARTMENT OF GEOSCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA, TUCSON, AZ 85721, USA

<sup>7</sup>DEPARTMENT OF GEOLOGY, UNIVERSITY OF GEORGIA, ATHENS, GA 30602, USA

<sup>8</sup>GEOLOGICAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCES, STANFORD UNIVERSITY, STANFORD, CA 94305-2115, USA

#### RECEIVED JUNE 24, 2004; ACCEPTED FEBRUARY 16, 2005 ADVANCE ACCESS PUBLICATION APRIL 15, 2005

Xenoliths of subducted crustal origin hosted by Miocene ultrapotassic igneous rocks in the southern Pamir provide important new information regarding the geological processes accompanying tectonism during the Indo-Eurasian collision. Four types have been studied: sanidine eclogites (omphacite, garnet, sanidine, quartz, biotite, kyanite), felsic granulites (garnet, quartz, sanidine and kyanite), basaltic eclogites (omphacite and garnet), and a glimmerite (biotite, clinopyroxene and sanidine). Apatite, rutile and carbonate are the most abundant minor phases. Hydrous phases (biotite and phengite in felsic granulites and basaltic eclogites, amphiboles in mafic and sanidine eclogites) and plagioclase form minor inclusions in garnet or kyanite. Solid-phase thermobarometry reveals recrystallization at mainly ultrahigh temperatures of 1000–1100°C and nearultrahigh pressures of 2.5–2.8 GPa. Textures, parageneses and mineral compositions suggest derivation of the xenoliths from subducted basaltic, tonalitic and pelitic crust that experienced highpressure dehydration melting, K-rich metasomatism, and solid-state re-equilibration. The timing of these processes is constrained by zircon ages from the xenoliths and  ${}^{40}Ar/{}^{39}Ar$  ages of the host

\*Corresponding author. Telephone: 805 893 7952. Fax: 805 893 2314. E-mail: hacker@geol.ucsb.edu volcanic rocks to 57–11 Ma. These xenoliths reveal that deeply subducted crust may undergo extensive dehydration-driven partial melting, density-driven differentiation and disaggregation, and sequestration within the mantle. These processes may also contribute to the alkaline volcanism observed in continent-collision zones.

KEY WORDS: xenolith; high-pressure; subduction; Pamir; Tibet

#### INTRODUCTION

Exposures of ultrahigh-pressure metamorphic rocks tell us much about the subduction or foundering and exhumation of continental margins or fragments. These ultrahigh-pressure terranes always undergo significant recrystallization and overprinting during their exhumation, however, such that details about the chemical and physical processing of subducted crustal material at high

© The Author 2005. Published by Oxford University Press. All rights reserved. For Permissions, please e-mail: journals.permissions@ oupjournals.org



Fig. 1. (a) Pamir–Tibet plateau, showing sutures, major terranes (italic), and types of xenoliths in Cenozoic volcanic rocks (after Schwab *et al.*, 2004); pre-Cenozoic igneous rocks of various terranes shown in shades of gray. (b) Geological map of the Pamir, showing Cenozoic and pre-Cenozoic igneous rocks, major terranes (italic), and sutures (each with a unique ornament). (c) Location of Dunkeldik magmatic field from which the xenoliths were collected.

pressures and high temperatures are invariably erased. Only xenoliths erupted from ultrahigh-pressure settings can provide this important information, but such rocks are exceptionally rare. We report here on the petrology, physical properties, and geochronology of a Miocene xenolith suite from the Pamir that has captured nearultrahigh pressure metamorphism in the act. The xenoliths yield insight into the geodynamic effects of the melting, metasomatism, and melt injection that takes place during profound subduction or foundering of continental crust. They also provide information on the deep crust beneath the southern Pamir and Tibet and, together with geological data for Tibetan xenoliths (Hacker *et al.*, 2000), suggest a similar first-order crustal structure for the Pamir and Tibet.

### DUNKELDIK ULTRAPOTASSIC SUITE

The Dunkeldik magmatic field is part of a poorly defined Cenozoic metamorphic and magmatic belt that stretches from the Chinese Pamir through the southern and central Pamir of Tajikistan (Fig. 1a) (Vlasov *et al.*, 1991; Hubbard *et al.*, 1999; Schwab *et al.*, 2004). It contains basalts, diorites, granodiorites, and leucogranites with Eocene to

Sample	gar	срх	ky	mus	bio	san	qtz	rut	hb	plg	carb	apat
227 4	11 + 5	52 ± 5			22 <b>–</b> 5	12 ⊥ 10		1				
337A	$11 \pm 5$	$52 \pm 5$			22 ± 5	13 ± 10		1				
1154A	$37\pm2$	$59\pm3$			(g)		2	1	(g)	(g)		<1
1154B	$19\pm2$	$\textbf{36} \pm \textbf{2}$	$6\pm1$			$6\pm1$	$\textbf{32}\pm\textbf{2}$	1	(g)	(g)		<1
1283A	$26\pm5$	$62\pm2$				2	$5\pm1$	1	(g)			$4\pm 2$
1283B	$24\pm5$	$36\pm5$			(g)	$35\pm10$	1	1	2(g)	(g)	2	
1309	$10 \pm 2$	$44 \pm 2$	$10 \pm 1$			$16\pm1$	$19\pm1$	1	(g)	(g)		
1503A	$16\pm16$		$4\pm4$			$19 \pm 14$	$60\pm35$	1				
1503B	$\textbf{32}\pm\textbf{2}$		$10 \pm 1$	(g)	(g)	$11\pm1$	$46\pm2$	1				
1503C	$24 \pm 5$		$15\pm5$			$9\pm2$	$51\pm10$	2				
2014		$17\pm8$			$80\pm7$	$3\pm1$						<1
1503B 1503C 2014	$\begin{array}{c} 32\pm2\\ 24\pm5\end{array}$	$17\pm8$	$\begin{array}{c} 10 \pm 1 \\ 15 \pm 5 \end{array}$	(g)	(g) 80 ± 7	$11 \pm 1$ 9 ± 2 3 ± 1	$46 \pm 2$ 51 ± 10	1 2				<'

Table 1: Mineral assemblages and modes (vol. %)

Determined by point counting using an optical microscope. ' $\pm$ ' for major minerals indicates variation apparent at the scale of a thin section. (g), found only as inclusion in garnet.

late Miocene crystallization ages (Ratschbacher et al., in preparation). The Dunkeldik field (Fig. 1b and c) lies between the Late Triassic-Early Jurassic, south-facing Jinsha-Tanymas suture and the Late Jurassic-Early Cretaceous, north-facing Rushan-Pshart-Bangong-Nujiang suture (Schwab et al., 2004) in an area transected by partly active faults related to the Karakoram fault zone (Strecker et al., 1995). In the Dunkeldik valley, a series of  $\sim 11 \text{ Ma}$  (see below), alkaline volcanic-hypabyssal complexes erupted deep crustal and mantle xenoliths (Dmitriev, 1976). The xenolith-bearing igneous suite includes ultrapotassic ( $K_2O/Na_2O = 4.7$ ; 7.4 wt %  $K_2O$ ; n = 9) dikes and subvolcanic bodies that range in composition from alkali basalt to trachyte, syenite, and carbonatite (Dmitriev, 1976); xenoliths are found only in the alkali basalts. Abundances of the various xenolith types (n > 300) are as follows: 38% eclogite and biotite eclogite, 19% phlogopite pyroxenite and glimmerite [in the sense of Gregoire et al. (2002)], 15% biotite-garnet clinopyroxenite, 8% biotite-garnet granulite, 6% kyanite-garnet granulite, 4% mafic garnet granulite, 3% phlogopitegarnet websterite, and 7% other rocks (Lutkov, 2003).

#### ANALYTICAL TECHNIQUES

Textural analysis was accomplished through optical microscopy and back-scattered electron microscopy. Mineral modes were determined by point counting with an optical microscope (Table 1). Mineral compositions were determined using a University of California, Santa Barbara, five-spectrometer Cameca SX-50 electron microprobe operated at 15 kV and 15 nA, and a University of Arizona four-spectrometer Cameca SX-50 operated at 15 kV and 20 nA, both calibrated with natural and synthetic mineral standards (Table 2). Table 3 gives bulk-rock compositions calculated from mineral modes

and mineral compositions. Major- and trace-element compositions were measured at Boston University using the remains of thin-section billets. This is not ideal because the samples are contaminated with epoxy from thin-section impregnation, and some include bits of host lava. Billets were first abraded with silicon carbide to remove as much as possible of the epoxy and weathered surfaces, and then powdered in alumina. Major elements were measured by inductively coupled emission spectrometry (ICP-ES), following LiBO<sub>2</sub> fusions, and by ICP mass spectrometry (ICP-MS), following HF-HNO<sub>3</sub> digestions [Table 3; methods described by Kelley et al. (2003)]. Elements measured by both techniques (e.g. Ba) indicate excellent agreement, within 2% relative. Differences among other elements (e.g. Ti and Zr) indicate the presence of rutile and zircon, respectively, which were not dissolved in the ICP-MS preparation. For this reason, Ti, Nb, Ta, Zr, and Hf determined by ICP-MS are not reported. Agreement in Y measured by both techniques demonstrates that the undissolved zircon had <5% effect on the heavy rare earth element (HREE) concentrations determined by ICP-MS. <sup>40</sup>Ar/<sup>39</sup>Ar ages of biotite from two xenoliths were measured at Stanford University using analytical techniques detailed by Hacker et al. (1996).

#### **XENOLITH DESCRIPTIONS**

The xenoliths are as large as 20–30 cm in diameter (granulites reach 50 cm), foliated, and contain millimeter-size minerals; some are composites composed of more than one rock type (Table 1; Fig. 2). Five types of xenolith were studied in detail: sanidine eclogites, felsic granulites, mafic eclogites, glimmerite, and a phlogopite–garnet websterite. The phlogopite–garnet websterite is picritic in composition, contains orthopyroxene, clinopyroxene, garnet, phlogopite, pyrrhotite and apatite, and is

		T												
Sample:	337A	337A	337A	337A	337A	337A	337A	337A	1154A	1154A	1154A	1154A	1154A	1154A
Mineral:	gar core	gar rim	core	cpx rim	kfs core	bio hi Ti	bio lo Ti	kfs rim	gar	gar core	cpx rim	bio	amph	plag
SiO <sub>2</sub>	37.59	37.71	50.73	51.82	64-33	35-51	35-55	62.12	39·55	39.10	54.19	36.20	40-46	61-40
TiO <sub>2</sub>	0.26	0.16	09.0	0.79	$\vee$	7.95	2.42	$\vee$	0.13	0.11	0.42	3·40	1.77	$\vee$
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	21 ·57	21.84	6.00	69.6	19.11	14.53	17.89	19-42	22·01	22.50	13.68	15.03	13-93	24.0
Cr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	0.05	0.05	0.12	0.05	$\vee$	0.05	$\vee$	0.05	$\vee$	$\vee$	0.04	0.08	0.08	V
Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	2.93	2.56	5.69	4.17	60·0	0.00	00.0	0.21	1.1	1.47	$\vee$	0.62	3.63	0.56
FeO	23.20	23.46	5.70	4.90	$\vee$	16.60	16-51	$\vee$	17·78	17.38	4.4	17-50	10.58	$\vee$
MnO	0.72	0.67	0.12	0.07	$\vee$	0.04	0.17	$\vee$	0.4	0.46	$\vee$	0.20	0.15	$\vee$
MgO	7.25	7·69	9-95	8.06	$\vee$	11.27	13.47	$\vee$	9.48	9.25	7.54	12·96	11-82	$\vee$
CaO	6.50	5.72	17.80	13·50	0.26	$\vee$	60.0	0.24	9-62	9.77	13·44	0.08	10.49	4.59
Na <sub>2</sub> O	$\vee$	$\vee$	3·18	5.61	4·81	0.48	1.08	0-97	$\vee$	$\vee$	6·02	0.65	3.07	7·86
K <sub>2</sub> 0	$\vee$	$\vee$	$\vee$	$\vee$	9.78	9.26	8.67	15-14	$\vee$	$\vee$	$\vee$	8·70	1-46	2.40
Total	100.1	6.66	6.66	98·3	98·4	95.7	95.9	98·2	100.1	100.1	99·8	95.4	97.5	100.9
Oxygens	12	12	9	9	8	11	11	80	12	12	9	11	23	80
Si	2.91	2.92	1.89	1.91	2.96	2.68	2.66	2.93	2.96	2.95	1.93	2·74	6.04	2.73
Ξ	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.02	$\vee$	0.45	0.14	$\vee$	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.19	0.20	$\vee$
AI	1.97	1.99	0.26	0.42	1.04	1.29	1.58	1.08	1-97	2·00	0.57	1·34	2.45	1.26
c	00.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	$\vee$	0.00	00.00	00.00	$\vee$	$\vee$	00.0	0.01	0.01	$\vee$
Fe <sup>3+</sup>	0.17	0.15	0.16	0.12	00.0	$\vee$	00.00	$\vee$	0.10	0.08	$\vee$	0.04	0.41	0.02
Fe <sup>2+</sup>	1.50	1.52	0.18	0.15	00.0	1.05	1.03	$\vee$	1.11	1.10	0.13	1.11	1-32	00.0
Mn	0.05	0.04	00.00	0.00	$\vee$	00.0	0.01	$\vee$	0.03	0.03	$\vee$	0.01	0.02	$\vee$
Mg	0.84	0.89	0.55	0.44	$\vee$	1.27	1.50	$\vee$	1.20	1.04	0.40	1.46	2·63	$\vee$
Ca	0.54	0.47	0.71	0.53	$\vee$	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.63	0.79	0.51	0.01	1.68	0·22
Na	$\vee$	$\vee$	0.23	0.40	0.43	$\vee$	0.16	60·0	$\vee$	$\vee$	0.42	0.10	0.89	0.68
$\mathbf{x}$	$\vee$	$\vee$	$\vee$	$\vee$	0.58	0.89	0.83	0-91	V	V	$\vee$	0.84	0.28	0.14
Sum	8·0	8·0	4.0	4.0	5-0	7.6	7.9	5.0	8·0	8.0	4.0	7.8	15-9	5-0

Table 2: Mineral compositions

AUGUST 2005

1.0	1.0	16-0	5.0	5.0	5.0	7.0	7.9	4.0	8·0	8·0	5.0	4.0	8·0	8·0	Sum
$\vee$	$\vee$	0.44	1.02	0.81	0.02	0.85	0-92	$\vee$	$\vee$	$\vee$	0.82	$\vee$	$\vee$	$\vee$	¥
0.02	$\vee$	0.62	$\vee$	0.19	0.42	0.02	0.04	0.45	$\vee$	$\vee$	0.18	0.44	$\vee$	$\vee$	Na
0.95	0.54	1.90	$\vee$	0.01	0.56	0.03	0.00	0.46	0.72	0.84	0.00	0.49	0.84	0.70	Ca
0.01	0.28	2·03	$\vee$	$\vee$	$\vee$	0.29	1.69	0.36	0.93	0.85	$\vee$	0.36	0.94	1.02	Mg
00.0	00.0	0.03	$\vee$	$\vee$	$\vee$	00.0	0.01	00.00	0.03	0.03	$\vee$	00.0	0.03	0.03	Mn
0.01	0.18	1.33	$\vee$	$\vee$	$\vee$	0.25	0.84	0.18	1.31	1.28	0.00	0.14	1.15	1.22	$Fe^{2+}$
n.d.	$\vee$	0.85	0.01	$\vee$	0.02	0.12	0.15	0.00	0.05	0.06	0.00	00.0	0.08	60·0	Fe <sup>3+</sup>
$\vee$	$\vee$	$\vee$	$\vee$	$\vee$	$\vee$	00.0	$\vee$	$\vee$	00.0	$\vee$	$\vee$	$\vee$	$\vee$	0.00	Ċ
$\vee$	$\vee$	3·29	0.97	1.02	1.57	1.95	1-53	0.58	1-97	1.94	0.00	0.62	2·00	1.98	AI
$\vee$	$\vee$	0.01	$\vee$	$\vee$	00.00	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.01	1.09	0.02	0.01	0.01	Ξ
00.00	$\vee$	5.47	3.01	2.98	2.42	3.43	2.71	1.94	2.98	2·99	2.93	1.91	2.95	2·95	Si
-	-	23	00	00	œ	11	11	9	12	12	00	9	12	12	Oxygens
53.36	58-56	95.0	100.0	100·3	99·2	94.3	94.4	6·66	100·3	100.2	98.4	69.7	100·3	100-4	Total
$\vee$	$\vee$	2·18	17·21	13-92	0·29	9.71	9-55	$\vee$	$\vee$	$\vee$	13.70	$\vee$	$\vee$	$\vee$	$K_2O$
0.73	$\vee$	2·06	$\vee$	2.12	4.69	0.16	0.29	6·48	$\vee$	$\vee$	1-94	6.38	$\vee$	$\vee$	Na <sub>2</sub> O
51.43	32.44	11.33	$\vee$	0.10	11-51	0.46	0.03	12.10	8·81	10.23	0.08	12.92	10-41	8·57	CaO
0.33	11-88	8.72	$\vee$	$\vee$	V	2.81	15-01	6·74	8.17	7.46	$\vee$	6.81	8.30	9-01	MgO
0.06	0.33	0.24	$\vee$	$\vee$	$\vee$	0.02	0.16	0.03	0.48	0-51	$\vee$	0.04	0.39	0.46	MnO
0.64	13.79	10.19	$\vee$	$\vee$	$\vee$	4.29	13.35	5.95	20.58	20.06	0.00	4.66	18-15	19.33	FeO
$\vee$	$\vee$	7.24	0.18	$\vee$	0.44	2.26	2.62	0.00	0.86	0.95	0.04	00.0	1-47	1-59	Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>
$\vee$	$\vee$	$\vee$	$\vee$	$\vee$	$\vee$	00.0	$\vee$	$\vee$	0.06	$\vee$	$\vee$	$\vee$	$\vee$	0.05	Cr <sub>2</sub> 0 <sub>3</sub>
$\vee$	$\vee$	17.89	17.77	18-96	29·20	24.18	17.12	13·86	21.98	21.52	19.73	14.70	22.40	22·17	$AI_2O_3$
$\vee$	$\vee$	60.0	$\vee$	$\vee$	0.01	0.27	0.40	0.50	0.13	0.21	0.03	0.57	0.12	0.21	TIO <sub>2</sub>
0.13	V	35.02	64.79	65.15	53·04	50.08	35.82	54.19	39.19	39.21	62.87	53.63	39.02	39-03	SiO <sub>2</sub>
									rin	core			rin	core	
glass?	car.	amph	san	kfs	plg	nm	bio	cpx	gar	gar	kfs	cpx	gar	gar	Mineral:
1283B	1283B	1283B	1283B	1283B	1283B	1283B	1283B	1283B	1283B	1283B	1154B	1154B	1154B	1154B	Sample:

Sample:	1309	1309	1309	1309	1309	1309	1309	1503A	1503A	1503A	1503B	1503B	1503B	1503B	1503B	2014	2014	2014
Mineral:	gar	gar rim	cpx	amph	plag	kfs	car	gar	gar rim	kfs	gar	bio	mus hi-Si	sum:	kfs	bio	kfs	cpx
	2000							2016					5	5				ĺ
SiO <sub>2</sub>	38.99	39.02	54.47	45.38	51.90	64.18	$\vee$	38.75	38-51	65-43	38.85	36.40	53.59	47.10	64.70	38-01	65.17	53.30
TiO <sub>2</sub>	0.14	0.17	0.50	0.16	$\vee$	$\vee$	$\vee$	0.04	0.04	$\vee$	0.04	6.40	$\vee$	$\vee$	$\vee$	4.51	0.07	0.33
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	21·86	21·86	15.66	4.40	30.54	18·88	$\vee$	22·14	21.91	19-71	22·06	16.49	21-55	37.11	19-91	13·03	17.66	1.22
$Cr_2O_3$	0.03	V	$\vee$	0.08	$\vee$	$\vee$	V	0.05	0.10	$\vee$	0.04	0.06	V	V	V	0.04	00.00	0·08
Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	1.07	0.79	$\vee$	0.00	0.83	$\vee$	$\vee$	0.80	1.09	$\vee$	00.00	00.0	0.02	0.65	$\vee$	0.00	0.12	0.54
FeO	21.6	21.66	5.52	29.14	00.0	$\vee$	$\vee$	25·97	25-59	$\vee$	26.25	14.20	2.86	0.25	$\vee$	11.74	$\vee$	7·34
MnO	0.63	0.62	0.04	0.55	00.0	00.00	$\vee$	0.49	0.50	$\vee$	0.51	$\vee$	$\vee$	$\vee$	$\vee$	0.13	$\vee$	0.14
MgO	6.65	6.60	5.6	14.31	0.02	00.00	$\vee$	10·32	10.00	$\vee$	10.03	12.95	5.17	1.05	$\vee$	17·18	$\vee$	13.71
CaO	9.82	9.89	11·02	1.90	12·89	0.11	61-02	1.13	1.69	0.47	1.26	$\vee$	0.19	$\vee$	0.25	0.03	$\vee$	22.79
Na <sub>2</sub> O	0.01	$\vee$	7-41	0.04	3.90	1.77	$\vee$	$\vee$	$\vee$	4·32	$\vee$	0.45	$\vee$	0.17	3·87	0.36	0.06	0.63
$K_2O$	0.01	$\vee$	$\vee$	0.44	0.70	14.07	0.20	$\vee$	$\vee$	10.01	$\vee$	9.30	10.07	10-40	10.90	9-56	16·82	$\vee$
Total	100·8	100.6	100·2	96.4	100.8	99.1	61-2	69.7	99.4	100.0	99.1	96.3	96·80	96·8	99.7	94.6	99·93	100.07
Oxygens	12	12	9	23	00	ø	ю	12	12	00	12	11	11	11	00	11	00	9
Si	2.98	2.98	1.93	7.08	2.35	2.97	$\vee$	2.97	2.97	2.96	3·00	2·68	3·64	3.06	2.95	2·83	3·02	1.98
μ	0.01	0.01	0.013	0.02	$\vee$	$\vee$	$\vee$	00.0	00.0	$\vee$	00.0	0.36	$\vee$	$\vee$	$\vee$	0·25	00.00	0.01
AI	1.97	1.97	0.654	0.81	1.63	1.03	$\vee$	2·00	1.99	1.05	2.01	1.43	1.72	2.84	1.07	1.14	0.97	0.05
Ċ	$\vee$	$\vee$	$\vee$	0.01	$\vee$	$\vee$	$\vee$	00.00	0.01	$\vee$	0.00	0.00	$\vee$	$\vee$	$\vee$	0.00	0.00	0.00
Fe <sup>3+</sup>	0.06	0.05	$\vee$	0.00	0.03	$\vee$	$\vee$	0.05	0.06	$\vee$	00.00	0.00	00.0	0.03	$\vee$	00.00	$\vee$	0.02
Fe <sup>2+</sup>	1·38	1.39	0.164	3·80	00.0	$\vee$	$\vee$	1.67	1.65	$\vee$	1.69	0·88	0.16	0.01	$\vee$	0.73	$\vee$	0.23
Mn	0.04	0.04	0.001	0.07	00.0	00.00	$\vee$	0.03	0.03	$\vee$	0.03	$\vee$	$\vee$	V	$\vee$	0.01	$\vee$	00.00
Mg	0.76	0.75	0.296	3.33	00.0	00.00	V	1.18	1.15	$\vee$	1.15	1.42	0.52	0.10	V	1·90	$\vee$	0.76
Ca	0.8	0.81	0.418	0.32	0.63	0.01	1.00	60·0	0.14	0.02	0.10	$\vee$	0.01	$\vee$	0.01	00.00	$\vee$	0-91
Na	$\vee$	$\vee$	0.509	0.01	0.34	0.16	$\vee$	$\vee$	$\vee$	0·38	$\vee$	0.06	$\vee$	0.02	0·34	0.05	0.01	0.05
¥	$\vee$	$\vee$	$\vee$	60·0	0.04	0·83	$\vee$	$\vee$	$\vee$	0.58	$\vee$	0·88	0·87	0.86	0.63	0.91	1·00	$\vee$
Sum	8.0	8.0	4.0	15-5	5.0	5.0	1.0	8·0	8·0	5.0	8.0	7.7	6.9	6.9	5.0	7·8	5.0	4.0

Table 2: continued

## Table 3: Calculated and measured bulk compositions

	Calculated bu	ulk compositi	ions						
Sample:	337A	1154A	1154B	1283A	1283B	1309	1503A	1503B	1503C
SiO <sub>2</sub>	49-4	49-4	64.6	51·0	53.9	60.6	79.9	69.3	70-8
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	12.8	16.5	14.5	15.1	17.5	18.7	9.9	15.7	16.5
TiO <sub>2</sub>	3.3	1.3	1.2	1.4	1.2	1.2	1.0	1.0	2.0
FeO*	11.2	9.6	5.6	9.3	7.7	4.7	4.3	8.5	6.5
Cr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
MnO	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1
MgO	8.0	8.0	4.0	6.3	4.5	3.1	1.6	3.2	2.3
CaO	8.3	11.4	6.7	12.2	6.8	5.8	0.3	0.5	0-4
Na <sub>2</sub> O	3.2	3.6	2.4	4.3	3.2	3.6	0.7	0.4	0.3
K <sub>2</sub> O	3.6	0.1	0.8	0.3	5.0	2.3	2.2	1.3	1.0
Forced sum	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
	Measured	bulk compos	sitions						
Sample:	337A		1154B	1283B		1309†	1503C		2014
ICP-ES									
SiO <sub>2</sub>	46-29		57.71	50.99		56.05	71.48		44-63
TiO <sub>2</sub>	2.95		1.10	1.16		0.90	0.93		3.72
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	12.26		18.76	18-21		19.12	15.10		13.09
Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	12.22		7.88	10.88		7.15	6.38		12.17
MnO	0.111		0.131	0.209		0.120	0.10	6	0.107
MgO	8.24		4.65	4.74		3.72	2.01		13.97
CaO	9.12		7.22	8.19		6.70	0.66		4.61
Na <sub>2</sub> O	2.69		2.29	2.16		2.46	0.36		0-47
- K <sub>2</sub> O	4.15		1.77	4.15		3.80	2.47		8.03
- Р2О5	0.52		0.21	0.42		0.20	0.03		0.14
Sum	98.56		101.72	101.11		100-21	99.52		100.94
LOI	1.71		2.77	1.86		2.90	1.29		3.29
Sr	734		539	676		738	139		707
Ba	1077		478	857		829	366		5825
Ni	138		47	21		32	113		178
Cr	337		95	33		56	108		189
Zr	238		242	289		284	352		59
Y	21		20	31		19	33		5
ICP-MS									
Li	27.8		25.5	28.7		57.1	8.80		67.5
Be	2.34		1.23	1.40		5.63	1.57		4.73
Sc	21.2		20.6	29.6		18.1	14.0		12·5
V	208		175	201		125	77		232
Cr	349		90.4	36.7		57.9	58·5		196
Co	42.0		25.5	29.9		22.6	23.9		45.7
Ni	148		39.7	16.1		28.9	131		200
Cu	34.7		31.5	50.9		38.1	20.7		11.9

#### Table 3: continued

	Measured bulk c	ompositions				
Sample:	337A	1154B	1283B	1309†	1503C	2014
Zn	136	18.7	26.8	58-7	18.4	138
Rb	129	39-1	190	101	78·5	548
Sr	712	516	673	719	139	675
Y	19.9	18.6	29.4	17.5	32.6	3.0
Cs	1.37	0.457	2.32	17-4	0.782	8.69
Ва	1068	471	854	815	372	5746
Pb	13.6	2.26	4.63	21.45	31.6	6.76
Th	1.71	0.353	3.57	2.80	5.08	2.00
U	0.482	0.251	0.785	0.407	1.30	0.421
La	43.9	13.1	19-2	23.7	19-4	18.1
Се	93.5	30.0	43.4	46.9	36.9	37.0
Pr	11.6	3.86	5.42	5·51	3.97	4.44
Nd	45·5	16.5	21.9	21.3	14.8	17.5
Sm	9.10	3.85	5.18	4.34	4.16	3.37
Eu	2.89	1.16	1.51	1.51	1.19	1.10
Gd	7.78	4.03	5.81	4.11	4.97	2.39
Tb	1.08	0.617	0.93	0.630	0.86	0.313
Dy	4.85	3.56	5.44	3.36	5.47	1.26
Но	0.815	0.702	1.09	0.649	1.18	0.196
Er	1.94	1.90	2.92	1.72	3.45	0.473
Yb	1.29	1.66	2.58	1.49	3·51	0.333
Lu	0.187	0.255	0.398	0.227	0.562	0.048

Calculated compositions are obtained from mineral compositions and modal abundances (normalized to 100%, carbonates excluded). Oxides in wt %, elements in ppm. LOI, loss on ignition. Boston University analysts: Terry Plank, Louise Bolge, Serin Duplantis, Florencia Meana-Prado.

<sup>†</sup>This sample contains 5-10% host lava.

probably of crustal origin based on mineral  $\delta^{18}$ O values of 6.6–7.1; this will be discussed in a separate publication (Luffi *et al.*, in preparation). The glimmerite, which consists of phlogopite, clinopyroxene, and sanidine, may be a fragment of metasomatized mantle, but the remainder of the xenoliths that we studied are unambiguously crustal and contain mineral assemblages indicative of ultrahigh temperatures and near-ultrahigh pressures. The mafic eclogites consist of omphacite, garnet and trace rutile and apatite, plus relict amphibole, plagioclase and biotite as garnet inclusions, whereas the sanidine eclogites include the above phases plus sanidine, kyanite, quartz, and minor relict plagioclase. The felsic granulites contain garnet, kyanite, quartz, and alkali feldspar, with minor graphite and rutile. All contain trace zircon and monazite.

Interpreting the histories of the xenoliths depends, in part, on the relative rates of xenolith ascent, thermal conduction and mineral reaction. Spera (1984) calculated ascent rates of  $\sim 0.1-3$  m/s for alkali basalts bearing

centimeter- to decimeter-scale xenoliths with densities of  $3.3 \text{ g/cm}^3$ . Such ascent rates carry xenoliths to the surface from depths of 50-100 km in periods of 5-300 h. Some of the Pamir xenoliths have even higher densities (see below), implying more rapid ascent and shorter transport time. The characteristic thermal diffusion distance for a sphere with thermal diffusivity  $10^{-6}$  m<sup>2</sup>/s is 0.2-1.8 m for periods of 5-300 h (Carslaw & Jaeger, 1959), implying that the Pamir xenoliths were heated significantly by the magma during transport to the surface. Rates of grain-boundary chemical diffusion in silicates are considerably slower, however, with  $\sim 10-20 \,\mu m$ thick grain-boundary coronae developing between silicates (e.g. Yund, 1997; Milke et al., 2001) in 25-50 h experiments at 1000-1100°C in the presence of an H<sub>2</sub>O-rich fluid. Rates of Fe and Mg volume diffusion in garnet (Ganguly et al., 1998) and clinopyroxene (Dimanov & Sautter, 2000) are among the slowest phenomena of interest, and imply diffusive lengthscales of



**Fig. 2.** Optical photomicrographs of xenolith textures. (a) Dehydration melting of biotite in glimmerite 2014 caused recrystallization of biotite, growth of spinel, and formation of silicate liquid that quenched to form K-feldspar. (b) Recrystallized biotite cut by veins of K-feldspar and carbonate in sanidine–biotite eclogite 337A indicates decomposition of biotite driven by heating or melt–fluid influx; symplectite around clinopyroxene formed in response to biotite melting or melt–fluid influx. (c) Eutectoid intergrowths around kyanite and clinopyroxene developed during eruption in sanidine–kyanite eclogite 1309. Spherical carbonate inclusion within K-feldspar. (d) Symplectites developed around clinopyroxene in sanidine eclogite 1283B. (e) Symplectites developed around clinopyroxene and garnet in eclogite 1154A are thickest along K-feldspar veins. (f) Carbonate veins and healed cracks marked by bubbles in sanidine eclogite 1283B. (g) Some grain boundaries in eclogite 1154A show no symplectite (arrows), indicating that melt–fluid influx triggers symplectitization. (h) Eclogite 337A is transected by abundant carbonate veins (white) but shows minor symplectite formation (partially crossed polarizers). (i) Symplectites are thickest surrounding clinopyroxene in sanidine–kyanite eclogite 1154B. (j) Symplectites are thickest surrounding clinopyroxene in sanidine–kyanite eclogite 1154B. (j) Symplectites are thickest surrounding clinopyroxene in sanidine–kyanite eclogite 1154B. (j) Symplectites are thickest surrounding clinopyroxene in sanidine–kyanite eclogite 1154B. (j) Symplectites are the carbonate, barite, and K-feldspar; inset shows fine-scale cathodoluminescent zoning in carbonate. (l) Pocket of K-feldspar pierced by euhedral proxene and garnet crystals in sanidine–biotite eclogite 337A. bar, barite; bio, biotite; car, carbonate; cpx, clinopyroxene; kfs, K-feldspar; gar, garnet; ky, kyanite; sympl, symplectite; rut, rutile.



Fig. 2. Continued.

no more than  $1 \,\mu\text{m}$  over the same timeframe at  $1100^{\circ}\text{C}$ . Na–K interdiffusion in alkali feldspar is 1–2 order(s) of magnitude faster (Christoffersen *et al.*, 1983). Thus, the effects of entrainment and transport of the Pamir xenoliths within the host magma are expected to be limited to fracturing, melting, growth of grain-boundary coronae tens of microns thick, K-feldspar zoning at the scale of tens of microns, and garnet Fe–Mg zoning on the micron scale.

The xenoliths do display textural and chemical changes at these scales resulting from interaction with magma or fluids, both before and during eruption. Those changes that may have occurred before eruption, based on the grain size of the reaction products and the equilibrated appearance of the textures include:

(1) the decomposition and recrystallization of phlogopite and the growth of sanidine + oxide minerals  $\pm$ glass (Fig. 2a and b);

(2) the crystallization of K-feldspar and carbonate spherules (Fig. 2c).

Both of these textures could in principle have formed by reaction with an externally derived magma, but we argue below that the K-feldspar formed from *in situ* melting. Textural and chemical changes that probably occurred during—or soon before—eruption include:

(1) symplectic breakdown of omphacite in the presence of melt to low-Na clinopyroxene + plagioclase  $\pm$  melt and amphibole + plagioclase (Fig. 2b-e and j);

(2) breakdown of kyanite to corundum + quartz and spinel + feldspar symplectite (Fig. 2c);

(3) glass, K-feldspar, and carbonate minerals formed from melt intruded along grain boundaries and along curved transgranular cracks (Fig. 2d–h);

(4) replacement of garnet rims in contact with melt by biotite + K-feldspar symplectite and spinel + K-feldspar + quartz in felsic granulites and mafic eclogites and by amphibole + spinel in sanidine eclogites (Fig. 2e and g);

(5) growth of micron-scale, bladed K-feldspar grains from interaction of melt with existing alkali feldspar (in felsic granulites and sanidine eclogites);

(6) potassium enrichment of alkali feldspar rims as a result of melt infiltration (in felsic granulites and sanidine eclogites);

(7) sodium enrichment of alkali feldspar as a result of breakdown of omphacite (in sanidine eclogites and mafic eclogites).

The sanidine eclogite xenoliths underwent the most alteration, experiencing almost all of the effects described above. The mafic eclogite sample is characterized only by biotite dehydration-melting reactions and melt intrusion along grain boundaries and cracks (Fig. 2l). The felsic granulite xenoliths are affected only at their margins and along a few grain boundaries and cracks (Fig. 2g and h).

## PETROGRAPHY, MINERAL COMPOSITIONS AND BULK-ROCK COMPOSITIONS

Sanidine–biotite eclogite 337A is a heterogeneous rock with garnet + clinopyroxene knots wrapped by biotite + sanidine rich zones (Fig. 2b, h and l). A foliation defined by elongate biotite plus some clinopyroxene and sanidine is folded at the millimeter to centimeter scale. Garnet is  $alm_{54}prp_{28}grs_{17}sps_{01}$  (alm, almandine; prp, pyrope; grs, grossular; sps, spessartine) and shows rimward changes of -2 mol % grossular at constant Mg-number

[=Mg/(Mg + Fe); Fig. 3a]. Clinopyroxene has unusually high  $TiO_2$  contents of 0.8-1.1 wt %, and rare matrix grains show rimward zoning in jadeite (NaAlSi $_2O_6$ ) content from 23 to 40 mol %; grains included within garnet show uniformly high jadeite contents (Fig. 3b). Matrix biotite has very high TiO2 contents of 6-8 wt % and is more magnesian (Mg-number 55) than inclusions in garnet (Mg-number 51). Alkali feldspar grains-weakly zoned in the range or<sub>57–68</sub>ab<sub>31–42</sub>an<sub>01–02</sub> (or, orthoclase; ab, albite; an, anorthite)-occur in low-strain zones in the rock matrix and as inclusions in garnet. The rock is transected by micron-scale carbonate (Ca<sub>52</sub>Mg<sub>27</sub>Fe<sub>21</sub>- $Ca_{52}Mg_{41}Fe_{09}$  + or<sub>99</sub> alkali feldspar filled fractures (Figs 2h and 3c). Nearly all garnet and omphacite rims have micron-scale symplectites developed as a result of this-although a few garnet-omphacite boundaries and all garnet-inclusion grain boundaries not intersected by carbonate + feldspar veins appear unreacted (Fig. 2h). The omphacite coronae are formed of sodic augite and an<sub>18</sub> plagioclase. All matrix biotites have partially decomposed to  $or_{93}$  alkali feldspar + hercynite-magnetite spinel where in contact with the carbonate + alkali feldspar veins (Fig. 2b). Garnet and clinopyroxene decomposition is more advanced in zones rich in decomposed biotite, suggesting that biotite dehydration melting accelerated or triggered garnet and clinopyroxene breakdown. The high TiO<sub>2</sub> and K<sub>2</sub>O, low SiO<sub>2</sub> and Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, and steep REE pattern (Table 3; Fig. 4) of 337A are consistent with a potassic alkali basalt (leucite-basanite) protolith.

Eclogite 1154A has a strong foliation defined by the shape-preferred orientation of omphacite, quartz, rutile, apatite, and slightly oblate garnet grains (Fig. 2e and g). Garnets are up to 2.2 mm in diameter and have alm<sub>39</sub>prp<sub>39</sub>grs<sub>20</sub>sps<sub>01</sub> cores (Fig. 3a) and alm<sub>39</sub>prp<sub>35</sub>grs<sub>24</sub>sps<sub>01</sub> rims. This whole-grain zoning reflects changes of  $-4 \mod \%$  pyrope,  $+4 \mod \%$  grossular, and -2 Mgnumber; Fe and Mn are unzoned, and Mg and Ca vary inversely. Omphacites are unzoned, except for sharp, 7% increases in Fe in the outer 15 µm of the grains; omphacite matrix grains and inclusions in garnet share the same composition. Fairly abundant pre-eclogitic inclusions within garnet are magnesian hastingsite (Na<sub>0.6</sub>  $K_{0.3}$  (Ca<sub>1.7</sub>Na<sub>0.3</sub>) (Mg<sub>1.8-2.6</sub>Fe<sub>1.7-2.3</sub>Al<sub>0.6</sub>) (Al<sub>1.9</sub>Si<sub>6.1</sub>)O<sub>22</sub>  $(OH_{1\cdot0-2\cdot0}F_{0\cdot0-1\cdot0})$ , plagioclase zoned from an<sub>18</sub> cores to an<sub>36</sub> rims, biotite with 3 wt % TiO<sub>2</sub>, quartz, and apatite. Micron-scale veins filled by carbonate and alkali feldspar transect garnet grains, and carbonates fill rare grain triple-junction pockets as large as 100 µm (similar to Fig. 2f). Garnets are surrounded by coronae of  $\sim 10 \,\mu m$ width of subsilicic magnesian hastingsite (Na<sub>0.5</sub>K<sub>0.2</sub>)- $(Ca_{1.4}Na_{0.6})(Mg_{2.2}Fe_{1.5}Al_{1.3})(Al_{2.3}Si_{5.7})O_{22}(OH_2) + an_{20}$ plagioclase. All pyroxene grain boundaries have been penetrated by carbonate veins and formed symplectites  $>10 \,\mu m$  thick that consumed <10% of the clinopyroxene. Rutile is rimmed by ilmenite where in contact with



**Fig. 3.** (a) Grossular–almandine–pyrope compositions of garnets. Arrows show core to rim zoning. (b) Ca-Tschermak, Mg-number, and acmite + jadeite components of clinopyroxenes; only 337A has calculated  $Fe^{3+}$ , yielding acmite<sub>05-11</sub>. (c) Compositions of carbonates. There is no systematic difference in composition among carbonates included in garnet, in the matrix, or included in sanidine.

quenched melt. The calculated bulk composition of this rock is that of a low-K tholeiitic basalt (Table 3).

Sanidine–(kyanite) eclogite 1154B is a compositionally distinct part of the same xenolith. It has a moderate foliation defined by phase-ratio layering and the shape-preferred orientation of garnet, clinopyroxene, K-feldspar, minor kyanite, and rutile (Fig. 2i). Garnet grains as large as 3.5 mm are zoned with decreasing Mg-number and increasing Ca from alm<sub>44</sub>prp<sub>34</sub>grs<sub>22</sub>sps<sub>01</sub> to alm<sub>42</sub>prp<sub>29</sub>grs<sub>28</sub>sps<sub>01</sub>; the magnitude and scale of



**Fig. 4.** Rare earth element patterns for Pamir xenoliths (data from Table 3). (a) Samples with arc affinity. Sanidine eclogites 1283B and 1154B have nearly parallel REE patterns. Sample 1309 has a steeper REE pattern as a result of host lava contamination. Shaded field indicates patterns from Andean andesites (Kay *et al.*, 1991) and Sierran granodiorites (Frost, 1987), which could be similar to eclogite protoliths. (b) Sanidine eclogite 337A; glimmerite 2014. (c) Metapelitic granulite 1503C; PAAS is post-Archean average shale from Taylor & McLennan (1985). If 1503C had an initial REE pattern like PAAS, it has lost 40% melt of composition shown. Calculation first dilutes PAAS with quartz such that it contains 71.5 wt % SiO<sub>2</sub> like 1503C, then determines melt from mass balance. Melt fractions >42% are required to create a smooth melt pattern. Shaded field indicates patterns of glass inclusions found in quartz crystals from similar granulite xenoliths from the Pamir (Chupin *et al.*, 2001).

the zoning vary from grain to grain independently of grain size. The garnet grains contain rare inclusions of  $an_{32}$  plagioclase and hornblende. Omphacites are homogeneous at 44 mol % jadeite and Mg-number 71. Sanidines have optically visible zoning, from  $or_{84}ab_{15}$  to  $or_{74}ab_{25}$ . The bulk composition of 1154B is similar to that of a continental arc tonalite or andesite (Table 3).

Sanidine eclogite 1283 has a moderate foliation defined by elongate patches of clinopyroxene, plus garnet, K-feldspar, rutile, and significant apatite (Fig. 2d, f and k); 1283A and 1283B represent parts of the same xenolith with different clinopyroxene/K-feldspar ratios. Garnets in 1283B are zoned from alm<sub>45</sub>prp<sub>28</sub>grs<sub>26</sub>sps<sub>01</sub> to  $alm_{45}prp_{31}grs_{24}sps_{01}$ , exhibiting rimward changes of +2in Mg-number and  $-2 \mod \%$  grossular. They contain relatively abundant inclusions of biotite  $(0.3 \text{ wt } \% \text{ TiO}_2)$ , subsilicic ferroan pargasite (Na<sub>0.4</sub>K<sub>0.5</sub>)(Ca<sub>1.9</sub>Na<sub>0.1</sub>)- $(Mg_{2\cdot 1}Fe_{2\cdot 0}Al_{0\cdot 9})(Al_{2\cdot 4}Si_{5\cdot 6})O_{22}(OH_{1\cdot 5}F_{0\cdot 5})$ , and carbonate (see below). All garnet external grain boundariesand all garnet inclusion grain boundaries intersected by carbonate-filled veins—have symplectite rims of  $\sim 10 \,\mu m$ thickness. Omphacites vary slightly in jadeite content from 44 to 46 mol % at constant Mg-number 67; individual grains are replaced 10-100% by micron-scale symplectites of plagioclase, sodic augite, and carbonate. Sanidines of composition or75ab24 have micron-scale rims of or<sub>99</sub>, are cut by micron-scale carbonate veins, and contain abundant healed fractures. Carbonate constitutes  $\sim 2$  vol. % of the rock and occurs in three textural types: (1) distributed thin grain-boundary films and transgranular cracks (Fig. 2f); (2) subrounded inclusions in

alkali feldspar (similar to Fig. 2c); (3) polycrystalline inclusions inside garnet (Fig. 2k). Most of the carbonates are clustered around the composition Ca<sub>0.55</sub>Mg<sub>0.30</sub>-Fe<sub>0.15</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, but a continuum from Ca<sub>0.54</sub>Mg<sub>0.28</sub>Fe<sub>0.18</sub>-CO3 toward Ca0.5Mg0.5CO3 is clearly separated by a compositional gap from inclusions of pure CaCO<sub>3</sub> (Fig. 3). The carbonate inclusions within garnet are anhedral to subhedral and consist of (1) euhedral carbonate grains with fine-scale oscillatory zoning visible with cathodoluminescence and (2) very fine-grained anhedral carbonate grains. Both forms are typically ternary Fe-Mg-Ca carbonate, but some euhedral crystals gave spot analyses of pure CaCO<sub>3</sub>. Rare barite is associated with the garnet-hosted carbonate inclusions. The bulk compositions of 1283A and 1283B are basaltic to trachybasaltic; 1283B shares an affinity to 1154B in its nearly parallel REE pattern (Table 3; Fig. 4).

Sanidine–(kyanite) eclogite 1309 has a granoblastic habit formed by garnet surrounded by clinopyroxene in a sea of K-feldspar, kyanite, quartz, and rutile (Fig. 2c and j). Minor, but widespread micron-scale transgranular and grain-boundary cracks contain carbonate. Garnets are essentially unzoned with only a minor decrease in Mg-number from  $alm_{46}prp_{27}grs_{26}sps_{01}$  to  $alm_{47}prp_{26}$ grs<sub>26</sub>sps<sub>01</sub>. They contain common inclusions of  $an_{58-67}$ plagioclase,  $or_{71-82}$  alkali feldspar, quartz, and (Mg<sub>0.8</sub>-Fe<sub>1.1</sub>Al<sub>0.1</sub>)(Al<sub>0.2</sub>Si<sub>1.8</sub>)O<sub>6</sub> orthopyroxene, and are surrounded by sodic augite  $+ an_{24}$  plagioclase coronae. Omphacites are homogeneous at 51–52 mol % jadeite and Mg-number 63–64; all show 20–100% decomposition to symplectites. Sanidines have optically visible zoning from or<sub>73–74</sub>ab<sub>25</sub> to or<sub>56–68</sub>ab<sub>31–43</sub>. Some kyanites are surrounded by micron-scale coronae composed of corundum + quartz (Fig. 2c). The bulk composition of 1309 is that of an arc tonalite or andesite, similar to 1154B (although it is contaminated by a few vol. % of the host lava) (Table 3).

The three pieces (A, B, C) of kyanite-garnet granulite xenolith 1503 have granoblastic textures; their foliations are defined by the shape-preferred orientation of quartz, kyanite, alkali feldspar and porphyroblastic garnet, and locally by the orientation of graphite flakes and rutile. Garnets from these three samples are near-homogeneous alm56prp39grs04sps01 with slight rimward increases in grossular of +1 mol % at a constant Mg-number of  $\sim$ 41. The relic phengites have Si contents as high as 3.6 atoms per formula unit of 11 oxygen atoms, and high F content ( $X_{\rm F} = {\rm F}$  anions/2 = 0.35). Alkali feldspar are or<sub>58-70</sub>ab<sub>28-40</sub>an<sub>02</sub>, with rim enrichment to or<sub>99</sub> where in contact with alkali-feldspar-filled veins. Rare biotite relicts are shielded in garnet grains, whereas phengite and K-feldspar are included in kyanite grains. In contrast to the eclogites, only minor alkali-feldspar or<sub>99</sub> veins and carbonate veins are present in the granulites; healed fractures are absent. Grain-boundary symplectites are minor or absent because of the absence of clinopyroxene. Garnet, kyanite, sanidine, quartz, apatite, monazite, and zircon contain CO<sub>2</sub>-rich, peraluminous dacitic to rhyodacitic primary glass inclusions with 69.76% SiO<sub>2</sub>, 0.16% TiO<sub>2</sub>, 15.74% Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, 0.7% FeO\*, 0.13% MgO, 0.61% CaO, 2.05% Na2O, and 5.73% K<sub>2</sub>O and primary CO<sub>2</sub>-rich fluid inclusions (Chupin et al., 2001). The bulk compositions of these rocks are similar to Fe + Mg-rich and Na-poor pelitic schists (Patiño Douce & McCarthy, 1998) (Table 3). The major element composition of 1503C is within 20% of Taylor & McLennan's (1985) post-Archean average shale (adjusted to the same silica content to compensate for the higher quartz content of 1503C), except for Na, Ca and P, which are depleted in 1503C.

Glimmerite (or alkali minette) 2014 consists of phlogopite and clinopyroxene with minor apatite, cut by  $or_{99}$ alkali feldspar veinlets (Fig. 2a). Clinopyroxene has <5 mol % jadeite and has completely recrystallized to a clinopyroxene–carbonate symplectite. The phlogopites have 4–5 wt % TiO<sub>2</sub> and significant F contents; all are recrystallized where in contact with alkali feldspar to more euhedral crystals with slightly higher Si, Mg, Ca and F and slightly lower Al, Ti, Fe, and Na, but are otherwise unchanged.

In summary, all eclogites show intrusion of carbonate and alkali feldspar along fractures that triggered, enhanced or accompanied symplectic decomposition of clinopyroxene and garnet. The xenolith compositions span a broad range, from mafic igneous rocks to felsic igneous rocks and metasediments. The association of continental arc magmatic rocks with mature sediments suggests that the bulk of the xenoliths were derived from evolved continental crust.

### PRESSURE-TEMPERATURE CALCULATIONS

Pre-eruption temperatures and pressures were determined by thermobarometry (Figs 5–7). Because of the widespread evidence for small-scale disequilibrium in the form of reaction coronas and mineral zoning, we made a careful assessment in each sample of whether rim and core compositions might represent equilibrium, using textural criteria such as the absence of grain-boundary reaction products and compositional criteria such as the magnitude and direction of zoning; this is discussed for each sample on a case-by-case basis below. Generally, the Pamir xenoliths have high-variance assemblages.

Interpreting the mineral zoning in the xenoliths requires knowing the diffusivities of elements in different phases and the operative phase transformations. The simplest rock to consider is the 'two-phase' eclogite 1154A, which consists of 59 vol. % omphacite, 37% garnet, 2% quartz and other trace phases; some of the 2.2 mm diameter garnet grains exhibit core-to-rim zoning of Mg  $(-4 \mod \%)$  and Ca  $(+4 \mod \%)$  against a homogeneous Fe and Mn background. As noted above, the diffusive lengthscale for Fe and Mg volume diffusion at 1100°C over the probable transport time for the xenolith is  $\sim 1 \,\mu m$  in garnet (Ganguly *et al.*, 1998) and less in clinopyroxene (Dimanov & Sautter, 2000)-any largerscale zoning must therefore be the result of pre-eruption processes. It is improbable that the observed garnet zoning-decreasing Mg (and Mg-number) and increasing Ca rimward—could have developed solely through temperature-dependent Fe-Mg exchange with the omphacite, because Fe and Mg have equal charge and do not require compensation by more slowly diffusing Ca (Chakraborty & Ganguly, 1992). Alternatively, the garnet zoning could reflect net-transfer reactions among garnet, omphacite and other phases (e.g. melt). This would require an increasing jadeite content in the omphacite to compensate for the loss of Ca from the omphacite to the garnet. The jadeite content of the omphacite cannot change, however, unless another Na-bearing phase exists in the rock. We therefore conclude that the Ca zoning in the garnet reflects consumption of former plagioclase or melt, and that the Mg zoning may reflect simple charge compensation (Mg diffuses more slowly than Fe; Chakraborty & Ganguly, 1992); the fact that Mg-number decreases rimward in garnet suggests that temperature was declining during the compression-induced nettransfer reactions that pumped Ca into garnet. We ascribe the preservation of this zoning, plus the presence of low-pressure inclusions in some rocks, to shielding within garnet and to the generally slow reaction rates



Fig. 5. Temperatures calculated for all samples at 2·0 and 2·5 GPa, using THERMOCALC, Ganguly *et al.* (1996), and Krogh Ravna (2000). We use the more conservative (lower) temperatures from the Ganguly *et al.* (1996) calibration.

that are likely to have prevailed in these chiefly anhydrous rocks.

Three types of reaction prove the most valuable for thermobarometry of these rocks: (1) Fe–Mg exchange between garnet and clinopyroxene; (2) net-transfer equilibria with positive P-T slopes; (3) net-transfer equilibria with negative P-T slopes. These reactions intersect within uncertainty for individual samples (Fig. 6). Because of the textural and chemical evidence that mineral rims underwent reaction with the melt, pressures and temperatures were calculated from mineral compositions interior to the rim zoning.

We calculated the positions of the Fe–Mg exchange equilibrium between garnet and clinopyroxene using three recent calibrations: THERMOCALC (Powell & Holland, 1988), Krogh Ravna (2000), henceforth K00, and Ganguly *et al.* (1996), henceforth GCT96. Figure 5 shows that agreement among the three calibrations is not excellent. Both THERMOCALC and GCT96 indicate temperatures ~100°C hotter than K00 at the cooler end of the scale, and, whereas agreement between GCT96 and K00 is excellent around 1100°C, THERMOCALC gives temperatures that are ~150–200°C hotter. To be conservative at the hotter end of the temperature scale, we use the GCT96 and K00 temperatures. These reactions have steep Clapeyron slopes, such that changing the assumed pressure by 0.5 GPa changes the apparent temperature by  $<45^{\circ}$ C (Fig. 5).

Net-transfer reactions with positive slopes that are applicable to these rocks include albite = jadeite + quartz, plus the less well-characterized reaction garnet = Ca-Tschermak component in clinopyroxene + clinopyroxene (CaTs). We calculated the position of the latter reaction using THERMOCALC and PTGIBBS (Brandelik & Massonne, 2004) with the garnet solution models of Ganguly & Saxena (1984), Berman (1990) and Massonne (1995) and the C2/c clinopyroxene solution models of AX (http://www.esc.cam.ac.uk/astaff/ holland/ax.html) and PTGIBBS, which explicitly consider jadeite, acmite, and Ca-Tschermak components. The various solution models for clinopyroxene yield calculated CaTs-based pressures that differ by >0.5 GPa, much greater than the 0.1 GPa uncertainty induced by 10% variation in CaTs activity. We calculated plagioclase activities using the average of models 3 and 4 of Holland & Powell (1992) (using  $X_{an} = 1 - Na - K$  and  $X_{\rm ab} = Na$ , incrementing the uncertainties of the activities to include the range in activities encompassed by the models. We calculated alkali feldspar activities using an average of the two solution models of Hovis et al. (1991)



Fig. 6. Calculated pressures and temperatures for individual samples. AJQ, albite = jadeite + quartz reaction, from THERMOCALC. CaTs, Ca-Tschermak component in clinopyroxene in equilibrium with garnet (Mg end-member reactions have gentler slope than Fe end-member reactions); numbers in parentheses identify calculation method: (1), THERMOCALC; (2), PTGIBBS with solution model of Ganguly & Saxena (1984); (3), PTGIBBS with solution model of Berman (1990). GCKQ, garnet-clinopyroxene-kyanite-quartz, after Ravna & Terry (2004). GCT96, garnet-clinopyroxene Fe–Mg exchange, after Ganguly *et al.* (1996). K00, garnet-clinopyroxene Fe–Mg exchange, after Krogh Ravna (2000).

for disordered alkali feldspar. Five per cent uncertainty in the jadeite activity in clinopyroxene translates into  $\pm 0.3$  kbar relative deviation; following Tropper *et al.* (1999), we assigned an absolute uncertainty of  $\pm 0.9$  kbar (1 $\sigma$ ) to the position of the albite = jadeite + quartz equilibrium calculated with these activities. In kyanite-bearing samples we used the 1 pyrope + 1 grossular + 2 quartz = 3 diopside + 2 kyanite (GCKQ) net-transfer reaction, which has a negative *P*–*T* slope; we used the method of Ravna & Terry (2004), based on the garnet activity model of Ganguly *et al.* (1996), the clinopyroxene activity model of Holland (1990), and the Holland & Powell (1998) database. These equilibria were supplemented with reactions calculated by THERMOCALC when possible.

Sanidine-kyanite eclogite 1154B has a lower variance mineral assemblage, such that pressure and temperature

can be estimated from three reactions: Fe–Mg partitioning between garnet and clinopyroxene, the albite breakdown reaction using the activity of albite in sanidine (Hovis *et al.*, 1991), and the pyrope + grossular + quartz = diopside + kyanite net-transfer reaction (Fig. 6). Intersections among these equilibria span 24.7-28.0 kbar and  $1015-1060^{\circ}$ C.

Pressure and temperature can be estimated for the more mafic piece of the same xenolith, 1154A, by using the intersection of the garnet–clinopyroxene Fe–Mg exchange reaction and the CaTs component in omphacite in equilibrium with garnet (Fig. 6). Depending on the calibration chosen, intersections among these equilibria range from a minimum of  $\sim 22.5$  kbar and  $1010^{\circ}$ C to a maximum of 28.5 kbar and  $1050^{\circ}$ C. A minimum pressure of  $\sim 21$  kbar is given by the albite = jadeite + quartz



Fig. 7. Calculated pressures and temperatures for the xenolith suite. Lines labeled with sample numbers show garnet–clinopyroxene thermometers (K00 and GCT96; positive slope), garnet + quartz = clinopyroxene + kyanite thermometer (negative slope) and feldsparbreakdown barometers. Conditions for xenolith 337A estimated by intersection between garnet–clinopyroxene thermometer and a linear geotherm for the remaining samples. Pressure converted to depth using global density profile of Christensen & Mooney (1995). End-member reactions involving coesite, aragonite, and kyanite were calculated using THERMOCALC. Dotted lines and gray band are mineral stability limits and dehydration-melting solidi, respectively, from experiments of Patiño Douce & McCarthy (1998).

reaction, assuming—in the absence of matrix feldspar—that  $a_{ab} = 1$ .

Pressure and temperature can be estimated for sanidine xenolith 1283B from intersection of the garnetclinopyroxene Fe-Mg exchange reaction and the albite = jadeite + quartz reaction, using  $a_{ab}$  for sanidine (Hovis *et al.*, 1991; Fig. 6). The intersections are at 1100°C (K00) and 28.5 kbar and 1130°C (GCT96) and 29.2 kbar (Fig. 6). Fe-Mg partitioning between garnet and biotite indicates temperatures ~35°C hotter, using THERMOCALC.

Sanidine-kyanite eclogite 1309 has an identical mineral assemblage to 1154B and permits application of the same thermometers and barometers (Fig. 6). Intersections among the Fe-Mg exchange, albite-breakdown, and GCKQ reactions span a relatively narrow range of 26·5-30·5 kbar and 1035-1090°C.

The pressure-temperature conditions of the mineral assemblage in kyanite-garnet granulite 1503 are difficult to constrain using thermobarometry because of the high-variance assemblage. Fe-Mg partitioning between garnet and biotite inclusions in 1503B indicates temperatures of  $\sim 1080^{\circ}$ C, but this is probably a maximum because Fe-Mg exchange between the rare and tiny biotite inclusions and their host garnet certainly continued after entrapment. We can, however, exploit the experiments of Patiño Douce & McCarthy (1998) on a muscovite schist to place constraints on the equilibration conditions. Those experiments demonstrate that the presence of garnet + K-feldspar + kyanite + quartz and the absence of omphacite, biotite, muscovite and plagioclase implies temperatures between 1025°C and 1060°C at 2.1 GPa (Fig. 7). They further suggest equilibration pressures between  $\sim 2.1$  and 3.0 GPa.



Fig. 8. Bulk compositions of some of the Pamir xenoliths are similar to residua produced in partial melting experiments of calc-alkaline tonalite at 21–32 kbar and 950–1150°C (gray shaded field; Patiño-Douce, in preparation).

Sanidine-biotite eclogite 337A yields different results from the other samples. Fe-Mg partitioning between garnet and clinopyroxene indicates temperatures of 720-750°C (K00) or 865-880°C (GCT96) for an assumed pressure interval of 20-25 kbar. Fe-Mg exchange between garnet and biotite rims (Ganguly et al., 1996) indicates slightly higher temperatures than GCT96 (900-925°C), regardless of whether an ideal or nonideal Al-Ti mixing model is chosen for biotite (Patiño Douce et al., 1993). Because both plagioclase and quartz are absent, pressure cannot be determined quantitatively from the mineral assemblage. However, if we assume that all the xenoliths were sampled along a single geotherm, we can estimate pressure from the intersection of that geotherm with the Fe-Mg garnet-clinopyroxene exchange equilibrium. Figure 7 shows that a linear geotherm determined by the P-T conditions of the remaining xenoliths intersects the Fe-Mg garnet-clinopyroxene exchange equilibrium for 337A at  $\sim 2.0-2.5$  GPa.

## ORIGIN AND EVOLUTION OF THE XENOLITHS

This section combines textural, paragenetic and mineral compositional evidence to assess the origin and evolution of the Pamir xenoliths. We demonstrate that the xenoliths are the result of (1) high-pressure dehydration melting that produced a dense, refractory residuum and a K-rich silicate liquid; (2) carbonate metasomatism; possibly,

(3) potassic metasomatism that caused phlogopite and sanidine crystallization.

#### Hydrous eclogite

If the protolith of 337A was an alkali basalt or a equivalent plutonic rock, the presence of abundant biotite and sanidine could simply reflect the bulk composition of the rock. Alternatively, the biotite and/or sanidine might have been introduced into the rock as a melt or fluid. The presence of sanidine and biotite inclusions in garnet requires that such introduction predated garnet growth. The lower calculated equilibration temperature and the lower inferred equilibration pressure suggest that this xenolith was extracted from a different depth in the lithosphere and has a different history from the other xenoliths.

#### Anhydrous eclogites

The bulk chemical compositions of samples 1154B and 1309 resemble those of quartz monzonites or biotite granodiorites to calc-alkaline tonalites. The nominal bulk compositions of mafic eclogites 1154A, 1283A, and 1283B suggest derivation from basaltic protoliths. However, the bulk compositions are so similar to the residua produced by Patiño Douce & McCarthy (1998) during experimental partial melting of calc-alkaline tonalite (Fig. 8), that they are more probably residua derived from partial melting of typical continental-margin magmatic arc rocks. According to Patiño Douce & McCarthy (1998), dehydration melting of amphibole–biotite tonalites at 19–24 kbar and  $T > 950^{\circ}$ C produces a solid residuum of garnet + omphacite + sanidine + quartz similar to the sanidine eclogite xenoliths from the Pamir. The amphibole and plagioclase preserved in garnet cores are compatible with this interpretation. The only difference, the presence of kyanite in 1154B and 1309, suggests that the protoliths could have been richer in Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. The REE patterns of these rocks suggest melt loss in the presence of garnet; such a process is permissible if the protoliths had steep REE patterns, typical of Andean or Sierran intermediate arc magmas (Fig. 4), which have major element abundances broadly similar to these xenoliths.

The thin grain-boundary films of carbonate in 1283B are probably the result of late-stage intrusion of the xenolith by a carbonate-rich fluid. The rounded inclusions within alkali feldspar crystals (Fig. 2c) might represent crystallization of sanidine + carbonate from a carbonaterich alkalic melt (Lee & Wyllie, 1998). The polycrystalline carbonate inclusions inside garnet have fine-scale compositional zoning and are euhedral (Fig. 2k). Because such fine-scale zoning should have been instantly erased by diffusion at these elevated temperatures, the carbonates must have crystallized during or soon before eruption and are presumably physically connected to veins outside the garnet.

#### Kyanite-garnet granulites

The bulk compositions, mineralogies, and glass inclusions of samples 1503A, 1503B, and 1503C, suggest that these rocks are residua of high-pressure melting of two-mica pelitic protoliths. Rare biotite inclusions in garnet, and phengite and alkali feldspar inclusions in kyanite, suggest a feldspathic two-mica protolith. The mineral assemblage, garnet + kyanite + sanidine + quartz, is identical to that observed by Castro et al. (2000) to form from experimental dehydration melting of two-mica pelites at elevated pressures. These xenoliths cannot represent quenched high-pressure melts, as they have SiO<sub>2</sub> and  $Al_2O_3$  contents that are granitic (sensu lato), but the concentrations of alkali elements and MgO + FeO are opposite to that expected in granitic melts. The REE pattern of 1503C, with its HREE enrichment and light REE (LREE) depletion relative to average shale (Fig. 4c), is quantitatively consistent with >40% removal of a melt with a very steep REE pattern—as expected for a melt in equilibrium with garnet. Although garnet was probably present during a substantial part of this granulite's P-T-tpath, it is likely that the REE pattern of the rock reflects melting with garnet in the residue near the peak P-Tconditions. The calculated REE pattern slightly differs from those of glass inclusions found in guartz from similar granulite xenoliths from the Pamir (Chupin et al., 2001). The glass inclusions have similar HREE, but higher

LREE concentrations; these could represent an earlier melt fraction. Alternatively, the difference could be explained by slightly different bulk compositions or melting histories.

#### Glimmerite

Glimmerite 2014 is interpreted to represent the result of interaction between a H<sub>2</sub>O-rich potassic melt (or fluid) and a ferro-magnesian host rock. The apparent chemical equilibrium between sanidine and phlogopite, plus the absence of mafic neoblasts along the biotite-feldspar interfaces, makes it clear that the sanidine was not produced by dehydration melting of the biotite. Such potassic melts or fluids might be derived from the asthenosphere (Menzies et al., 1987; Gregoire et al., 2002) or by the high-pressure breakdown of fluorinated biotite in felsic granulites (Becker et al., 1999); the absence of mantle xenoliths in this suite implies the latter. It is also possible that 2014, with its 80% modal biotite, represents a cumulate crystallized from a K-rich melt. The very steep REE pattern requires derivation from a source containing garnet.

#### **ERUPTION AGE**

Biotite from xenoliths 337A and 2014 were dated via stepwise degassing in a resistance furnace at Stanford University. The samples yielded slightly different ages of  $11.52 \pm 0.22$  Ma ( $2\sigma$ ) and  $11.17 \pm 0.14$  Ma, respectively (Fig. 9). As both samples were at temperatures well above Ar retention in biotite prior to eruption, these ages are unambiguously eruption ages. The differences between the apparent ages of the two xenoliths might indicate slightly different eruption times or may be geologically meaningless.

# DEEP CRUST BENEATH THE SOUTHEASTERN PAMIR

The thermobarometric calculations and mineral parageneses detailed above suggest that most of the xenoliths investigated equilibrated at high pressures and temperatures, P = 25-28 kbar and T = 1000-1100 °C. The rocks span the entire range of probable crustal compositions basaltic to tonalitic to pelitic—in the grossest sense. We have interpreted the textures and minerals within the xenoliths to be the result of partial melting and enrichment metasomatism at high pressure; most of the minerals present represent residua, whereas others, specifically sanidine and biotite, in some samples represent quenched melt or fluid either derived locally or introduced from a distance. These xenoliths were carried to the surface in a volatile-rich magma at ~11 Ma, which may have formed



Fig. 9.  ${}^{40}$ Ar/ ${}^{39}$ Ar apparent age spectra of biotites show eruption ages of 11·17  $\pm$  0·14 Ma and 11·52  $\pm$  0·22 Ma (2 $\sigma$ ). Step ages show  $\pm 1\sigma$  without error in irradiation parameter j; filled rectangles used to calculate weighted mean plateau ages (WMPA).

by melting of enriched subcontinental upper mantle (e.g. Turner *et al.*, 1996; Miller *et al.*, 1999)—as has been suggested for the Neogene granitoids of the south Karakoram (Mahéo *et al.*, 2002).

Laser ablation multicollector ICP-MS dating of zircons was performed on two of these xenoliths by Ducea *et al.* (2003). Sanidine-(kyanite) eclogite 1309, here interpreted as a calc-alkaline monzonite to tonalite originating from dehydration melting of amphibolite-biotite tonalite, underwent igneous crystallization at  $\sim$ 63-88 Ma and contains inherited zircons with ages of  $\sim 132 \text{ Ma}$ ,  $\sim 195 \,\mathrm{Ma}$ , and  $\sim 250 \,\mathrm{Ma}$ . The pelitic protolith of kyanite-garnet granulite 1503A either was deposited after  $\sim 57$  Ma or underwent high-grade zircon growth at  $\sim$ 57–84 Ma; older zircons are 146–170 Ma, 412– 465 Ma, 890 Ma and 1.4 Ga. The early Paleozoic and Precambrian zircon ages prove that the xenoliths were derived from Gondwanan crust (e.g. DeCelles et al., 2000); the Mesozoic ages preclude derivation of the xenoliths from Indian crust, as India does not contain magmatic rocks of Mesozoic age (with the exception of minor rift-related alkalic volcanism; Hodges, 2000). The zircon ages are compatible with derivation of the xenoliths from crust of the type exposed at present in a  $\sim$  300 km wide belt in the Karakoram-Kohistan-Ladakh and Hindu Kush-southern Pamir blocks (e.g. Gaetani, 1996; Fraser et al., 2001; Schwab et al., 2004); these blocks are Gondwanan, and contain Jurassic to Late Cretaceous plutons produced by prolonged northward subduction of Tethys. The southern Pamir contain granodiorites and two-mica monzogranites with Proterozoic to Cretaceous zircon ages (Schwab et al., 2004) identical to those found in the xenoliths (Ducea et al., 2003). The Kohistan arc,

especially its southern, deeply exhumed part, contains variously metamorphosed calc-alkaline to tholeiitic gabbros, diorites, and granodiorites with ages of 82–99 Ma (e.g. Searle, 1991; Schaltegger *et al.*, 2002). The Karakoram and Hindu Kush also include voluminous siliciclastic rocks (mostly shales) of various metamorphic grades that underwent several high-strain thickening events before and after the India–Asia collision (e.g. Gaetani, 1996; Zanchi & Gritti, 1996; Fraser *et al.*, 2001). Granulite-facies, Barrovian metamorphism in the Karakoram block is pre-37 Ma, and 25 Ma to Recent (Fraser *et al.*, 2001; Mahéo *et al.*, 2002). This is similar to the prograde high-temperature metamorphism of xenolith 1503A, which contains 50 and 34 Ma monazites and ~15 Ma zircon rims (Ducea *et al.*, 2003).

### DEEP CRUST BENEATH THE PAMIR AND TIBET

The studied Pamir xenoliths were erupted at ~11 Ma from depths of ~90–100 km [pressure converted to depth using the global density profile of Christensen & Mooney (1995)]. The eruption depth was 20–30 km deeper than the ~70 km thickness of the present-day Pamir crust (Burtman & Molnar, 1993; Mellors *et al.*, 1995), suggesting that the xenoliths were derived from a subducted crustal slab or from foundered lower crust. The absence of mantle xenoliths implies that the eruption pathway remained entirely within the crust. The similarities between the compositions and ages of the xenoliths and exposed crustal rocks in the Pamir suggest that part of a Late Cretaceous arc built on Gondwanan continental



Fig. 10. Melting and metasomatism beneath the Pamir in the Eocene(?)–Miocene. Subduction of Pamir continental crust (a) is favored, but foundering of Pamir continental crust (b) is possible. In both cases, metasomatism, metamorphism, and melting at ultrahigh temperatures and near-ultrahigh pressures drive disaggregation and mechanical differentiation of the crustal material.

crust (probably the Kohistan-Ladakh-Gangdese arc with its Lhasa block basement) was subducted northward beneath the Pamir-either following subduction of a small trapped ocean basin (Burtman & Molnar, 1993) or driven by intracontinental shortening (Fig. 10a). The temperatures, which are higher than expected for subduction, based on ultrahigh-pressure terranes, may have resulted from conductive heating following cessation of subduction or simply from slow subduction. Alternatively, pieces of the lower crust of this same arc may have been pulled into the mantle by a density instability (Fig. 10b) (e.g. Jull & Kelemen, 2001)-if the lower crust were dominated by eclogite rather than garnet granulite. The zircon ages of the xenoliths require this subduction or foundering to be younger than 57 Ma. If intracontinental subduction is the cause, it may have occurred along any one of the sutures within or south of the southern Pamir: the early Cenozoic Indus-Yarlung suture, the Shyok suture where the Kohistan-Ladakh arc accreted to Asia in the Late Cretaceous (e.g. Schaltegger et al., 2002), or the Tirich Mir fault zone, which has existed since the pre-mid-Cretaceous (Hildebrand et al., 2001). It may have occurred during the early stages of the India-Asia collision (dated at  $\sim$ 54 Ma in the western Himalava; Searle et al., 1997) and may have produced the 50-34 Ma high-grade metamorphism of the xenoliths. Alternatively, the monazite and zircon rim growth could have occurred during high-grade metamorphism at crustal depths and the subduction could be younger than 50, 34, or 15 Ma. If foundering of the lower crust is the cause, it should not be much older than the eruption age of the xenoliths because of the rapid timescale of thermal assimilation within the asthenosphere ( $\sim 10$  Myr for a body of 10 km radius, assuming a thermal diffusivity of  $10^{-6}$  m<sup>2</sup>/s).

Subducted continental slabs that detach from the oceanic lithosphere that is dragging them into the mantle are expected to rise at least to Moho depths because of their positive buoyancy (e.g. Chemenda et al., 2000; Walsh & Hacker, 2004). It is probable, therefore, that the slab of Pamir continental crust that we infer was subducted or foundered to near-ultrahigh pressure depths during the Eocene(?)-Miocene subsequently rose to form (or add to) the lower crust of the Pamir. Schwab et al. (2004) suggested that the lower crust of the Pamir as far north as the central Pamir Qiangtang block (and probably the Karakoram and the Hindu Kush) is made of Gondwanan crust. This is strikingly similar to the southern half of Tibet, for which Murphy et al. (1997) suggested that the Lhasa block with its Jurassic to early Cenozoic Gangdese-arc rocks was underthrust northward beneath Asia as far north as the central Qiangtang during the Cretaceous and early Tertiary.

Our xenolith studies in the southeastern Pamir (this study) and central Tibet (Hacker et al., 2000) support an

Rock	7 (°C)	T method	P (kbar)	P method
337A	720-750	K00	20-25	assumed
	865-880	GCT96	20-25	assumed
	900-925	garnet-biotite	20-25	assumed
1154A	1010	K00	22.5	CaTs, using BM04
1154A	1050	GCT96	28·5	CaTs, using THERMOCALC
1154A	>1000	GCT96	>21.0	$ab = jd + qz$ , $a_{ab} = 1$
1154B	1040	K00	24.7	GCKQ
	1060	K00	28.0	$ab = jd + qz,  a_ab$ from kfs, using HDR91
	1015	GCKQ	26.8	$ab = jd + qz,  a_ab$ from kfs, using HDR91
1283B	1100	K00	28.5	$ab = jd + qz,  a_ab$ from kfs, using HDR91
1283B	1130	GCT96	29.2	$ab = jd + qz,  a_ab$ from kfs, using HDR91
1309	1065	K00	26.5	GCKQ
	1090	K00	30.4	$ab = jd + qz,  a_ab$ from kfs, using HDR91
	1035	GCKQ, GCT96	28.8	$ab = jd + qz,  a_ab$ from kfs, using HDR91
1503	1025-1060	PD98	2.1–3.0	PD98

Table 4: Calculated	pressures and	temperatures
---------------------	---------------	--------------

BM04, Brandelik & Massonne (2004); GCT96, Ganguly *et al.* (1996); HDR91, Hovis *et al.* (1991); K00, Krogh Ravna (2000); GCKQ, garnet + quartz = clinopyroxene + kyanite (Ravna & Terry, 2004); PD98, experimental results of Patiño Douce & McCarthy (1998).

'>' are minima.

emerging picture of the first-order crustal structure of the Pamir and Tibet (Fig. 1d) (Schwab et al., 2004). The xenoliths of the southeastern Pamir indicate that the lower crust south of the central Qiangtang block probably is tonalitic-granodioritic, reflecting long-lived and intense subduction, arc formation, and Cretaceous-Cenozoic underthrusting of these arc segments together with their Gondwanan host rocks beneath Asia as far north as the central Qiangtang block. In contrast, dominantly metasedimentary xenoliths were erupted in the Tertiary alkali basalts within the northern Qiangtang (Hacker et al., 2000), Songpan-Ganze flysch (Deng et al., 1996), and southernmost Kunlun belts (Jolivet et al., 2003) (Fig. 1d), suggesting that the northern Pamir and northern Tibet both have a dominantly sedimentary lower crust formed from subducted Triassic Songpan-Ganze accretionary wedge rocks (Kapp et al., 2003). This firstorder, two-part crustal structure is probably the cause of the north-south variation observed in geophysical studies: for example, Owens & Zandt (1997) reported that Tibet is characterized by 70 km thick, slow P-wave, average Poisson's ratio crust in the south and 55 km thick, fast P-wave, high Poisson's ratio crust in the north.

#### **PHYSICAL PROPERTIES**

Subduction of continental crust beneath the Pamir is one of the types of tectonic settings in which ultrahighpressure rocks—supracrustal rocks exhumed from depths exceeding 100 km—may be created (Searle *et al.*, 2001). Although some ultrahigh-pressure terranes appear to have undergone melting at ultrahigh pressures (e.g. Kokchetav and Erzgebirge, Massonne, 2003), such terranes are strongly modified during their ascent to the surface. The Pamir xenoliths thus afford a unique probe into ultrahigh-pressure tectonics and the chemical and physical processing that takes place in such environments. The temperatures inferred for the Pamir xenoliths are ~200°C hotter than inferred for most ultrahigh-pressure terranes, suggesting that they are sampling a regime not normally preserved.

What are the geodynamic effects of dehydration melting and metasomatism at ultrahigh-pressure conditions? How does such petrological processing at ultrahigh pressures affect our view of subduction zones and the lower continental crust obtained through seismological study? These questions can be addressed in preliminary fashion by calculating the densities and seismic wave speeds of the xenoliths at the high pressures and temperatures at which these xenoliths equilibrated (Table 4). Figure 11 compares these with calculated values for mantle rocks at the same pressures and temperatures. Not surprisingly, the former pelitic rocks are the least dense. In fact, most of the xenoliths are less dense than the surrounding mantle and would have been positively buoyant with respect to the mantle. However, two of the xenoliths, mafic eclogites 1154A and 1283A, are significantly more dense than the surrounding mantle, negatively



Fig. 11. Densities, P-wave speeds, and  $V_{\rm P}/V_{\rm S}$  ratios for 2·8 GPa and 1050°C calculated from mineral proportions and compositions using Hacker & Abers (2004). •, Pamir xenoliths;  $\Box$ , minerals and rocks types from Hacker *et al.* (2003). Diagonal ruling shows properties of mantle peridotites. Eclogitization and pelite dehydration produce denser rocks with higher P-wave speed and average  $V_{\rm P}/V_{\rm S}$ . Melt intrusion and metasomatism produce less dense rocks with lower P-wave speed and unusually high  $V_{\rm P}/V_{\rm S}$  ratios.

buoyant, and would have sunk within the mantle given a low enough mantle viscosity (we do not detect evidence of this sinking in the xenoliths). Moreover, whereas the bulk of the xenoliths have calculated seismic wave speeds that are significantly slower than mantle rocks, these same two mafic eclogite xenoliths have calculated wave speeds that are indistinguishable from the mantle, reinforcing the notion that wave speeds cannot be used to detect

Sample	0·01 GPa, 25°C*				2·8 GPa, 1075° C <sup>*</sup>			
	Density (g/cm <sup>3</sup> )	V <sub>P</sub> (km/s)	V <sub>S</sub> (km/s)	ν	Density (g/cm <sup>3</sup> )	V <sub>P</sub> (km/s)	V <sub>S</sub> (km/s)	ν
337A	$3{\cdot}25\pm0{\cdot}08$	$7.20 \pm 0.14$	$4{\cdot}04\pm0{\cdot}09$	$0{\cdot}27\pm0{\cdot}00$	3.25	7.08	3.85	0.29
1154A	$\textbf{3.57} \pm \textbf{0.01}$	$8{\cdot}32\pm0{\cdot}01$	$4{\cdot}75\pm0{\cdot}01$	$0{\cdot}26\pm0{\cdot}00$	3.54	8.14	4.52	0.28
1154B	$\textbf{3.21} \pm \textbf{0.02}$	$7{\cdot}44\pm0{\cdot}04$	$\textbf{4.46} \pm \textbf{0.02}$	$0{\cdot}21 \pm 0{\cdot}00$	3.19	7.64	4.31	0.26
1283A	$\textbf{3.47} \pm \textbf{0.02}$	$8{\cdot}13\pm0{\cdot}03$	$\textbf{4.67} \pm \textbf{0.01}$	$0{\cdot}25\pm0{\cdot}00$	3.44	7.99	4.45	0.27
1283B	$\textbf{3.20} \pm \textbf{0.07}$	$7{\cdot}45\pm0{\cdot}15$	$4{\cdot}17\pm0{\cdot}10$	$0{\cdot}27\pm0{\cdot}00$	3.20	7.38	4.01	0.28
1309	$\textbf{3.19} \pm \textbf{0.01}$	$\textbf{7.49} \pm \textbf{0.02}$	$4{\cdot}37\pm0{\cdot}01$	$0{\cdot}24\pm0{\cdot}00$	3.18	7.55	4.20	0.27
1503A	$2{\cdot}90\pm0{\cdot}74$	$\textbf{6.6} \pm \textbf{2.2}$	$4{\cdot}12\pm0{\cdot}35$	$0{\cdot}18\pm0{\cdot}10$	2.90	7.13	4.05	0.26
1503B	$\textbf{3.18} \pm \textbf{0.02}$	$7{\cdot}07\pm0{\cdot}04$	$4{\cdot}31\pm0{\cdot}2$	$0{\cdot}20\pm0{\cdot}00$	3.17	7.46	4.21	0.27
1503C	$\textbf{3.13} \pm \textbf{0.07}$	$7{\cdot}02\pm0{\cdot}14$	$4{\cdot}31\pm0{\cdot}04$	$0{\cdot}20\pm0{\cdot}01$	3.12	7.44	4.21	0.26
2014	$\textbf{3.00} \pm \textbf{0.03}$	$6{\cdot}23\pm0{\cdot}12$	$3{\cdot}40\pm0{\cdot}08$	$0{\cdot}29\pm0{\cdot}00$	3.02	6.16	3.24	0.31
harz.†	3.34	8.29	4.82	0.24	3.32	8.08	4.54	0.27
lherz.†	3.37	8·17	4.73	0.25	3.38	8.14	4.54	0.27

Table 5: Calculated physical properties

Calculated using formalism of Hacker & Abers (2004). Uncertainties are  $\pm 1\sigma$  derived from thin-section scale variations in mineral mode (Table 1) only. v is Poisson's ratio.

\*Calculations at STP use  $\alpha$ -quartz, those at elevated *P* and *T* use  $\beta$ -quartz.

<sup>†</sup>Garnet harzburgite and garnet Iherzolite from Hacker *et al.* (2003).

the presence of mafic crustal rocks sequestered in the mantle.

The overall effect of dehydration melting-if the melt leaves the rock-is to produce a denser and seismically faster residuum (Fig. 11). In the Pamir xenoliths, this refining process increased densities and wave speeds by  $\sim 10\%$ , but in the more felsic xenoliths it did not produce rocks that are as dense and seismically fast as lherzolite and harzburgite. Thus, even at near-ultrahigh pressures and extreme temperatures, felsic to intermediate continental crustal material is still differentiable from the mantle using seismic waves. Although one might be tempted to conclude that P-wave speeds of >7.5 km/s in the deep parts of orogenic belts are 'lower crustal' or mafic in composition, these xenoliths demonstrate that such physical properties also characterize 'upper crustal' felsic to intermediate lithologies that have been devolatilized by dehydration melting. The high-pressure melting of the felsic to intermediate xenoliths (1154B, 1283B, 1309) also did not increase their densities enough to cause the xenoliths to be negatively buoyant with respect to the mantle (Fig. 11). In contrast, the residual mafic rocks (1154A, 1283A) are denser than the mantle by virtue of their garnet + clinopyroxene mineralogy; such rocks could sink into a low-viscosity asthenosphere. The most dramatic and interesting effects are, however, produced by enrichment metasomatism-as expressed in xenolith 2014. For such rocks, the addition of hydrous potassic melt to form sanidine and biotite produces a great enough reduction in density that they become buoyant

with respect to the mantle; this means that enrichment metasomatism provides a mechanism whereby even eclogite and ultramafic rocks can be returned to the Moho. Fluid-driven metasomatism also produces rocks that are seismically slow and have high  $V_{\rm P}/V_{\rm S}$  ratios because of the abundance of phlogopite and sanidine. The seismic properties of such rocks, particularly their high Poisson's ratios (Table 5), mean that they could be mistaken in seismological studies for the presence of partial melt.

#### CONCLUSIONS

Xenoliths erupted at ~11 Ma in the southeastern Pamir suggest that Gondwanan crust overprinted by a Cretaceous magmatic arc on the southern margin of Eurasia was subducted or foundered to depths of 90-100 km beneath Eurasia during Eocene(?)-Miocene intracontinental convergence. Combined with data from Tibetan xenoliths and surface exposures, these xenoliths suggest that the Pamir and Tibet share similar crustal architectures-a southern lower crust composed of Gondwanan crust overprinted by a Cretaceous magmatic arc and a northern lower crust composed of clastic sedimentary rocks. The Pamir crust reached temperatures of  $\sim 1000-1100^{\circ}C$  after dehydration melting, fluid-melt metasomatism, and melt injection. This processing at extreme temperatures and pressures caused significant changes in physical properties of the deeply buried

crust: melting decreased buoyancy whereas melt injection or metasomatism increased buoyancy.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

E. A. Dmitriev gave us the collection of xenoliths studied here. The manuscript was reviewed by Jane Selverstone, editors Geoffrey Clarke and Marjorie Wilson, and an anonymous reviewer. Jiba Ganguly provided advice and reprints regarding major element diffusivities in garnet and pyroxene. Supported by NSF grant EAR-0003568 to B.H., and OCE-0137110 to T.P.

#### REFERENCES

- Becker, H., Wenzel, T. & Volker, F. (1999). Geochemistry of glimmerite veins in peridotites from lower Austria—implications for the origin of K-rich magmas in collision zones. *Journal of Petrology* 40, 315–338.
- Berman, R. G. (1990). Mixing properties of Ca–Mg–Fe–Mn garnets. American Mineralogist 75, 328–344.
- Brandelik, A. & Massonne, H.-J. (2004). PTGIBBS—an EXCEL Visual Basic program for computing and visualizing thermodynamic functions and equilibria of rock-forming minerals. *Computers and Geosciences* 30, 909–923.
- Burtman, V. S. & Molnar, P. (1993). Geological and Geophysical Evidence for Deep Subduction of Continental Crust Beneath the Pamir. Geological Society of America, Special Papers 281, 1–76.
- Carslaw, S. & Jaeger, J. C. (1959). Conduction of Heat in Solids. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Castro, A., Corretgé, G., El-Biad, M., El-Hmidi, H., Fernández, C. & Patiño-Douce, A. E. (2000). Experimental constraints on Hercynian anatexis in the Iberian Massif, Spain. *Journal of Petrology* 41, 1471–1488.
- Chakraborty, S. & Ganguly, J. (1992). Cation diffusion in aluminosilicate garnets; experimental determination in spessartine– almandine diffusion couples, evaluation of effective binary, diffusion coefficients, and applications. *Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology* 111, 74–86.
- Chemenda, A. I., Burg, J.-P. & Mattauer, M. (2000). Evolutionary model of the Himalaya–Tibet system: geopoem: based on new modelling, geological and geophysical data. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 174, 397–409.
- Christensen, N. I. & Mooney, W. D. (1995). Seismic velocity structure and composition of the continental crust: a global view. *Journal of Geophysical Research* 100, 9761–9788.
- Christoffersen, R., Yund, R. A. & Tullis, J. (1983). Inter-diffusion of K and Na in alkali feldspars; diffusion couple experiments. *American Mineralogist* 68, 1126–1133.
- Chupin, V. P., Kuzmin, D. V. & Touret, J. L. R. (2001). High-pressure melt and fluid inclusions in minerals of garnet granulites/eclogites (eastern Pamir). In: XVI ECROFI European Current Research on Fluid Inclusions Abstracts. Faculdade de Ciências do Porto, Departamento de Geologia, Memória 7, 95–98.
- DeCelles, P. G., Gehrels, G. E., Quade, J., LaReau, B. & Spurlin, M. (2000). Tectonic implications of U/Pb zircon ages of the Himalayan orogenic belt in Nepal. *Science* 288, 497–499.
- Deng, W., Zheng, X. & Matsumoto, Y. (1996). Petrological characteristics and ages of Cenozoic volcanic rocks from the Hoh Xil Mts., Qinghai Province. Acta Petrologica et Mineralogica 15, 289–297.

Dimanov, A. & Sautter, V. (2000). 'Average' interdiffusion of (Fe,Mn)– Mg in natural diopside. *European Journal of Mineralogy* 12, 749–760.

- Dmitriev, E. A. (1976). Kainozoiskie kalievye schelochnye porody Vostochnogo Pamira. (Cenozoic Potassium Rocks of Eastern Pamir.) Dushanbe: Akademiya Nauk Tadzhikskoy SSR, 171 pp.
- Ducea, M. N., Lutkov, V., Minaev, V. T., Hacker, B., Ratschbacher, L., Luffi, P., Schwab, M., Gehrels, G. E., McWilliams, M., Vervoort, J. & Metcalf, J. (2003). Building the Pamirs: the view from the underside. *Geology* **31**, 849–852.
- Fraser, J. E., Searle, M. P., Parrish, R. R. & Noble, S. R. (2001). Chronology of deformation, metamorphism, and magmatism in the southern Karakoram Mountains. *Geological Society of America Bulletin* 113, 1443–1455.
- Frost, T. P. (1987). Sample localities, descriptions, major- and traceelement abundances from the Lamarck Granodiorite and associated mafic rocks, eastern Sierra Nevada, California. US Geological Survey Open-File Report 87-0193, 38.
- Gaetani, M. (1996). The Karakorum block in Central Asia, from Ordovician to Cretaceous. *Sedimentary Geology* **109**, 339–359.
- Ganguly, J., Cheng, W. & Chakraborty, S. (1998). Cation diffusion in aluminosilicate garnets; experimental determination in pyrope– almandine diffusion couples. *Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology* 131, 171–180.
- Ganguly, J., Cheng, W. & Tirone, M. (1996). Thermodynamics of aluminosilicate garnet solid solution: new experimental data, and optimized model, and thermometric applications. *Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology* **126**, 137–151.
- Ganguly, J. & Saxena, S. K. (1984). Mixing properties of aluminosilicate garnets; constraints from natural and experimental data, and applications to geothermo-barometry. *American Mineralogist* 69, 88–97.
- Gregoire, M., Bell, D. R. & Le Roex, A. P. (2002). Trace element geochemistry of glimmerite and MARID mantle xenoliths: their relationship to kimberlites and to phlogopite-bearing peridotites revisited. *Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology* 142, 603–625.
- Hacker, B. R. & Abers, G. A. (2004). Subduction Factory 3. An Excel worksheet and macro for calculating the densities, seismic wave speeds, and H<sub>2</sub>O contents of minerals and rocks at pressure and temperature. *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems* 5, Q01005, doi: 10.1029/2003GC000614.
- Hacker, B. R., Abers, G. A. & Peacock, S. M. (2003). Subduction Factory 1. Theoretical mineralogy, density, seismic wave speeds, and H<sub>2</sub>O content. *Journal of Geophysical Research* **108**, 10.1029/ 2001JB001127.
- Hacker, B. R., Gnos, E., Ratschbacher, L., Webb, L., Grove, M., McWilliams, M., Jiang, W. & Wu, Z. (2000). Hot and dry xenoliths from the lower crust of Tibet. *Science* 287, 2463–2466.
- Hacker, B. R., Mosenfelder, J. L. & Gnos, E. (1996). Rapid ophiolite emplacement constrained by geochronology and thermal considerations. *Tectonics* 15, 1230–1247.
- Hildebrand, P. R., Noble, S. R., Searle, M. P., Waters, D. J. & Parrish, R. R. (2001). Old origin for an active mountain range: geology and geochronology of the eastern Hindu Kush, Pakistan. *Geological Society of America Bulletin* **113**, 625–639.
- Hodges, K. V. (2000). Tectonics of the Himalaya and southern Tibet from two perspectives. *Geological Society of America Bulletin* 112, 324–350.
- Holland, T. J. B. (1990). Activities in omphacitic solid solutions: an application of Landau theory to mixtures. *Contributions to Mineralogy* and Petrology 105, 446–453.
- Holland, T. J. B. & Powell, R. (1992). Plagioclase feldspars: activity– composition relations based upon Darken's quadratic formalism and Landau theory. *American Mineralogist* 77, 53–61.

- Holland, T. J. B. & Powell, R. (1998). An internally consistent thermodynamic data set for phases of petrological interest. *Journal of Metamorphic Geology* 16, 309–343.
- Hovis, G. L., Delbove, F. & Roll-Bose, M. (1991). Gibbs energies and entropies of K–Na mixing for alkali feldspars from phase equilibrium data: implications for feldspar solvi and short-range order. *American Mineralogist* 76, 913–927.
- Hubbard, M. S., Grew, E. S., Hodges, K. V., Yates, M. G. & Pertsev, N. N. (1999). Neogene cooling and exhumation of upperamphibolite-facies 'whiteschists' in the southwest Pamir Mountains, Tajikistan. *Tectonophysics* **305**, 325–337.
- Jolivet, M., Brunel, M., Seward, D., Xu, Z., Yang, J., Malavieille, J., Roger, F., Leyreloup, A., Arnaud, N. & Wu, C. (2003). Neogene extension and volcanism in the Kunlun fault zone, northern Tibet: new constraints on the age of the Kunlun fault. *Tectonics* 22, 1051, doi: 10.1029/2002TC001460.
- Jull, M. & Kelemen, P. B. (2001). On the conditions for lower crustal convective instability. *Journal of Geophysical Research* 106, 6423–6445.
- Kapp, P., Yin, A., Manning, C. E., Harrison, T. M., Taylor, M. H. & Ding, L. (2003). Tectonic evolution of the early Mesozoic blueschistbearing Qiangtang metamorphic belt, central Tibet. *Tectonics* 22, 1043, doi: 10.1029/2002TC001383.
- Kay, S. M., Mpodozis, C. M., Ramos, V. A. & Munizaga, F. (1991). Magma source variations for mid–late Tertiary magmatic rocks associated with a shallowing subduction zone and a thickening crust in the central Andes (28 to 33°S). In: Harmon, R. S. & Rapela, C. W. (eds) Andean Magmatism and its Tectonic Setting. Geological Society of America, Special Papers 265, 113–137.
- Kelley, K. A., Plank, T., Ludden, J. N. & Staudigel, H. (2003). The composition of altered oceanic crust at ODP sites 801 and 1149. Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems 4, 10.1029/2002GC000435.
- Krogh Ravna, E. (2000). The garnet-clinopyroxene Fe<sup>2+</sup>-Mg geothermometer: an updated calibration. *Journal of Metamorphic Geology* 18, 211–219.
- Lee, W. J. & Wyllie, P. J. (1998). Processes of crustal carbonatite formation by liquid immiscibility and differentiation, elucidated by model systems. *Journal of Petrology* **39**, 2005–2013.
- Lutkov, V. S. (2003). Petrochemical evolution and genesis of potassium pyroxenite–eclogite–granulite association in the mantle and crustal xenoliths from Neogene fergusites of South Pamir, Tajikistan. *Geochimia* 3, 254–265.
- Mahéo, G., Guillot, S., Blichert-Toft, J., Rolland, Y. & Pêcher, A. (2002). A slab breakoff model for the Neogene thermal evolution of South Karakoram and South Tibet. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 195, 45–58.
- Massonne, H.-J. (1995). Experimental and petrogenetic study of UHPM. In: Coleman, R. & Wang, X. (eds) Ultrahigh Pressure Metamorphism. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, pp. 33–95.
- Massonne, H.-J. (2003). A comparison of the evolution of diamondiferous quartz-rich rocks from the Saxonian Erzgebirge and the Kokchetav Massif: are so-called diamondiferous gneisses magmatic rocks? *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* **216**, 347–364.
- Mellors, R. J., Pavlis, G. L., Hamburger, M. W., Al-Shukri, H. J. & Lukk, A. A. (1995). Evidence for a high-velocity slab associated with the Hindu Kush seismic zone. *Journal of Geophysical Research* 100, 4067–4078.
- Menzies, M. A., Rogers, N., Tindle, A. & Hawkesworth, C. J. (1987). Metasomatic and enrichment processes in lithospheric peridotites, an effect of lithosphere–asthenosphere interaction. In: Menzies, M. A. & Hawkesworth, C. J. (eds) *Mantle Metasomatism*. London: Academic Press, pp. 313–363.

- Milke, R., Wiedenbeck, M. & Heinrich, W. (2001). Grain boundary diffusion of Si, Mg, and O in enstatite reaction rims: a SIMS study using isotopically doped reactants. *Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology* 142, 15–26.
- Miller, C., Schuster, R., Klötzli, U., Mair, V., Frank, W. & Purtscheller, F. (1999). Post-collisional potassic and ultrapotassic magmatism in SW Tibet: geochemical and Sr–Nd–Pb–O isotopic constraints for mantle source characteristics and petrogenesis. *Journal* of *Petrology* 40, 1399–1424.
- Murphy, M. A., Yin, A., Harrison, T. M., Duerr, S. B., Chen, Z., Ryerson, F. J., Kidd, W. S. F., Wang, X. & Zhou, X. (1997). Did the Indo-Asian collision alone create the Tibetan Plateau? *Geology* 25, 719–722.
- Owens, T. J. & Zandt, G. (1997). Implications of crustal property variations for models of Tibetan plateau evolution. *Nature* 387, 37–43.
- Patiño Douce, A. E., Johnston, A. D. & Rice, J. M. (1993). Octahedral excess mixing properties in biotite; a working model with applications to geobarometry and geothermometry. *American Mineralogist* **78**, 113–131.
- Patiño Douce, A. E. & McCarthy, T. C. (1998). Melting of crustal rocks during continental collision and subduction. In: Hacker, B. R. & Liou, J. G. (eds) When Continents Collide: Geodynamics and Geochemistry of Ultrahigh-Pressure Rocks. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic, pp. 27–55.
- Powell, R. & Holland, T. J. B. (1988). An internally consistent dataset with uncertainties and correlations: 3. Applications to geobarometry, worked examples and a computer program. *Journal of Metamorphic Geology* 6, 173–204.
- Ravna, E. J. K. & Terry, M. P. (2004). Geothermobarometry of phengite-kyanite-quartz/coesite eclogites. *Journal of Metamorphic Geology* 22, 579–592.
- Schaltegger, U., Zeilinger, G., Frank, M. & Burg, J.-P. (2002). Multiple mantle sources during island arc magmatism: U–Pb and Hf isotopic evidence from the Kohistan arc complex, Pakistan. *Terra Nova* 14, 461–468.
- Schwab, M., Ratschbacher, L., Siebel, W., McWilliams, M., Lutkov, V., Minaev, V., Chen, F., Stanek, K., Nelson, B., Frisch, W. & Wooden, J. L. (2004). Assembly of the Pamirs: age and origin of magmatic belts from the southern Tien Shan to the southern Pamirs and their relation to Tibet. *Tectonics* 23, TC4002, doi: 10.1029/ 2003TC001583.
- Searle, M., Corfield, R. I., Stephenson, B. & McCarron, J. (1997). Structure of the North Indian continental margin in the Ladakh– Zanskar Himalayas: implications for the timing of obduction of the Spontang ophiolite, India–Asia collision and deformation events in the Himalaya. *Geological Magazine* 134, 297–316.
- Searle, M., Hacker, B. R. & Bilham, R. (2001). The Hindu Kush seismic zone as a paradigm for the creation of ultrahigh-pressure diamond and coesite-bearing rocks. *Journal of Geology* 109, 143–154.
- Searle, M. P. (1991). Geology and Tectonics of the Karakoram Mountains. Chichester: John Wiley.
- Spera, F. J. (1984). Carbon dioxide in petrogenesis III: role of volatiles in the ascent of alkaline magmas with special reference to xenolithbearing mafic lavas. *Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology* 88, 217–232.
- Strecker, M. R., Frisch, W., Hamburger, M. W., Ratschbacher, L., Semiletkin, S., Sturchio, N. & Zamoruyev, A. (1995). Quaternary deformation in the Eastern Pamirs, Tadzhikistan and Kyrgyzstan. *Tectonics* 14, 1061–1079.
- Taylor, S. R. & McLennan, S. M. (1985). The Continental Crust; its Composition and Evolution. Oxford: Blackwell Scientific.
- Tropper, P., Essene, E. J., Sharp, Z. D. & Hunziker, J. C. (1999). Application of K-feldspar-jadeite-quartz barometry to eclogite

facies metagranites and metapelites in the Sesia Lanzo Zone (Western Alps, Italy). *Journal of Metamorphic Geology* **17**, 195–209.

- Turner, S., Arnaud, N., Liu, J., Rogers, N., Hawkesworth, C., Harris, N., Kelley, S., Van Calsteren, P. & Deng, W. (1996). Postcollision, shoshonitic volcanism on the Tibetan Plateau; implications for convective thinning of the lithosphere and the source of ocean island basalts. *Journal of Petrology* 37, 45–71.
- Vlasov, N. G., Dyakov, Y. A. & Cherev, E. S. (1991). Geological map of the Tajik SSR and adjacent territories, 1:500,000. Leningrad: VSEGEI.
- Walsh, E. O. & Hacker, B. R. (2004). The fate of subducted continental margins: two-stage exhumation of the high-pressure to ultrahighpressure Western Gneiss complex, Norway. *Journal of Metamorphic Geology* 22, 671–689.
- Yund, R. A. (1997). Rates of grain boundary diffusion through enstatite and forsterite reaction rims. *Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology* 126, 224–236.
- Zanchi, A. & Gritti, D. (1996). Multistage structural evolution of Northern Karakoram (Hunza region, Pakistan). *Tectonophysics* 260, 145–165.